

INTERNATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ALLIANCE

2002 SPECIAL 301 REPORT

COLOMBIA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Colombia continued to fail to take sufficient action on the three key issues identified by USTR almost three years ago: the need to improve copyright enforcement; the need to resolve Colombia's long-standing failure to pursue criminal sentences and administrative (CNTV) actions against unlicensed television operators operators; and the effective implementation of its TRIPS obligations (which were due January 1, 2000).¹ These goals have not been met, and this has cost the U.S. copyright industries.

Piracy levels in Colombia continue to be high for such an important market. For example, music piracy is rampant and is worsening due to the emergency of illegitimate CD-R replication. In all, estimated losses due to piracy of U.S.- copyrighted materials in Colombia were \$153 million in 2001.

Any positive effects of the inconsistent raids taken by Colombian authorities for some industries stops after the seizure of pirated goods. The Colombian judicial system simply fails to actively prosecute cases, much less issue deterrent penalties. The effort to legitimize the television market has failed because the government's activity, primarily that of the CNTV, is consistently ineffective in enforcement efforts. Finally, Colombia still must work to improve its judicial system to ensure that its criminal, administrative, civil and border procedures meet the enforcement obligations of the TRIPS Agreement, as a statutory matter and, more importantly, as applied in practice.

IIPA recommends that Colombia remain on the Special 301 Watch List and that an out-of-cycle review be conducted later this year. Actions which could be considered in such a review might include, for example: (a) the steps the government and judiciary take to reduce the amount of time taken by Colombian judges to grant civil *ex parte* search orders; (b) actions taken by CNTV, both administratively and in coordination with the criminal authorities, to combat television piracy; (c) specific actions taken by Customs, the Finance Ministry and the tax authorities to combat copyright piracy, at the street level as well as larger distributors of pirated materials; (d) if President Pastrana issues a new legalization decree for business software in the near future, the implementation of such decree within Colombian agencies should be monitored; (e) the effective implementation by the *Superintendencias* and DIAN of Law 603 (a fiscal law which requires Colombian corporations to certify compliance with copyright laws in annual reports which they file with regulatory agencies). In addition, we urge the Colombian Copyright Office to issue a formal written opinion, affirming that copyright ownership by software publishers and other corporate entities is presumed under Colombian copyright law without the need for evidence of a written transfer of rights by the employee or other individual author.

¹ See Press Release 99-41, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, April 30, 1999.

COLOMBIA: ESTIMATED TRADE LOSSES DUE TO PIRACY
(in millions of U.S. dollars)
and LEVELS OF PIRACY: 1996 - 2001

INDUSTRY	2001		2000		1999		1998		1997		1996	
	Loss	Level										
Motion Pictures	40.0	90%	40.0	90%	40.0	55%	38.0	60%	33.0	60%	27.0	75%
Sound Recordings / Musical Compositions	73.0	65%	60.0	60%	60.0	60%	65.0	60%	54.0	60%	18.0	66%
Business Software Applications ²	35.7	53%	33.2	53%	50.5	58%	68.1	60%	52.4	62%	74.3	67%
Entertainment Software	NA	NA	39.0	85%	7.0	75%	7.7	78%	7.2	73%	6.8	62%
Books ³	5.5	NA	5.0	NA	6.0	NA	6.0	NA	5.0	NA	5.0	NA
TOTALS	153.3		177.2		163.5		184.8		151.6		131.1	

Colombia currently participates in both the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) program and the Andean Trade Preferences Act (ATPA), U.S. trade programs that offer preferential trade benefits to eligible beneficiary countries. Part of the discretionary criteria of these programs is that Colombia must provide “adequate and effective” protection of intellectual property rights.⁴

COPYRIGHT PIRACY IN COLOMBIA

Although Colombia is one of the most important potential markets in Latin America for the motion picture industry, piracy is so integrated into the economy and enforcement efforts are so ineffective, that the battle for a viable and legal audiovisual market appears close to being lost. The incidence of video piracy is so high that some audiovisual producers have simply given up on the market, despite the country’s potential. The Motion Picture Association (MPA) has worked with the very few legal video outlets over the past several years to fight video piracy, but 2001 saw cooperation between the MPA and COLVIDEO, the local video association. This cooperation seems to have forestalled continued growth in piracy rates in some regions, but both groups continue to struggle with an effective pirate market. At least 90% of the video market is pirate.

² BSA loss numbers for 2001 are preliminary. In IIPA’s February 2001 Special 301 filing, BSA’s 2000 estimates of \$48.0 million at 55% were identified as preliminary. BSA finalized its 2000 numbers in mid-2001, and those revised figures are reflected above.

³ AAP revised its 2000 estimated loss of \$6.0 million to \$5.0 million, which is reflected above.

⁴ In 2000, \$66.2 million of Colombian goods entered the United States under the GSP program, accounting for 1% of its total imports to the U.S. \$826.6 million of Colombian goods entered the U.S. under the ATPA program, accounting for 12% of its total imports to the U.S. For the first 11 months of 2001, \$53.9 million of Colombian goods (or 1% of Colombia’s total imports to the U.S. from January to November) entered the U.S. under the duty-free GSP code, representing a 13.6% decrease over the same time period last year. \$707.5 million of Colombian goods entered the U.S. under the ATPA program for the same period, accounting for a 7.8% decrease over last year.

The MPA will continue to fight signal theft piracy. Prior to the long-delayed licensing process, MPA estimated that 80% of the television market was pirate, and despite licensing, now estimates that close to 70% of the pay television still receive pirated signals in some form. Many of those formerly pirate stations now have licenses and have legalized their signals. However, this does not mean that these "legal" operators pay for the programming that they transmit. Since 1998, CNTV had promised to take legal action, in coordination with criminal prosecution, against the remaining thousands of non-licensed operators, concurrently attacking piracy and allowing newly licensed operators the ability to develop larger subscriber bases and more financial ability to obtain legal contracts for MPA member company product. However, CNTV completely failed to fulfill its promises. As a result, MPA estimates that at least 70% of the potential television market is pirate or systematically involved in unauthorized transmissions of MPA member company product. Annual losses to the U.S. motion picture industry due to audiovisual piracy in Colombia are estimated to be \$40 million in 2001.

The recording industry reports that in 2001, the estimated level of audio piracy rose to 65%, with estimated losses due to piracy also rising, up to at \$73 million. Piracy of music CDs in Colombia continues to increase, mostly due to local CD-R replication. Hundreds of stalls in the street markets of San Andrecitos continue to openly and brazenly sell and distribute pirate and counterfeit product. Thousands of street vendors sell pirate CDs on the traffic corners in Bogota, Medellin and Cali, and even more vendors sell pirate audiocassettes. The situation is complete anarchic. Because these vendors move around so much, it is difficult to locate them and get the police to conduct raids in a swift and efficient manner. Infringing recordings enter Colombia either by "ant smuggling" through airports around the country or by brining blank CD-Rs by the thousands in order to illegally replicate them inside Colombia. CD-R piracy (recordable CD) is flourishing in Colombia, as a cottage industry of pirate CD-R products has exploded, pirating primarily Colombian repertoire. Most of the music companies are shrinking; the local companies continue to close down their operations and their investments. U.S. repertoire continues to be very much affected due to this situation. There have been certain isolated efforts and lots of programs and public statements, but to no avail.

The piracy rate for business software still reflects an unacceptably high incidence of illegal software use in Colombia, particularly within small to medium-sized organizations. Piracy levels in cities outside Bogota are believed to be much higher than the average national rate. During 2001, the Business Software Alliance (BSA) encountered sophisticated, high-volume software counterfeit production facilities in Bogota. A series of raids by Colombian law enforcement authorities confirmed that the facilities had manufactured several hundreds of counterfeit software licenses and packaging. The authorities arrested 17 pirates in one raid alone, completely dismantling the pirate operation facilities. Estimated trade losses due to business software piracy are an estimated \$35.0 million in 2001, with an estimated 53% piracy level. The educational and legal campaign of the BSA, combined with only modest growth in the legitimate market, resulted in a reduction in the piracy rate and estimated losses in Colombia in 2001, compared to the prior year. BSA is extremely troubled by a February 2002 judicial decision which directly undermines the rights of U.S. software publishers and, if upheld and followed by other courts, could prevent all US copyright-based industries from enforcing their rights against Colombian infringers (see discussion, below). BSA specifically urges the Colombian Copyright Office and Colombian government to denounce the recent court decision involving Carillo Publicidad as contrary to Colombian copyright law and the Berne Convention.

The publishing industry reports the Colombian Book Chamber (which includes U.S. publishers) and the government have been working hard in trying to improve both the “Ley del Libro” itself and its enforcement. Currently there is no enforcement against photocopy shops located either outside universities or those operated inside, where individual chapters of textbooks as well as entire books are reproduced without authorization. There also is some commercial book piracy. When pirated books are found, the publishers report it to the Book Chamber, and they go with law enforcement agents to confiscate the books, which are then burned. Commercial piracy has declined somewhat because of enforcement actions, but not photocopying. Local agents of U.S. publishers say that pirated books and photocopies have a 20% to 25% market share (50% in the English language reference books, which is only a small part of the market). There is a campaign on television, on radio and in the newspapers discussing the problem of using illegal IP products. Estimated trade losses due to book piracy rose slightly to \$5.3 million in 2001.

The Interactive Digital Software Association (IDSA) has reported concerns that Colombia is becoming another destination for pirated product (including videogame CDs and cartridges, personal computer CDs, and multimedia products) out of Southeast Asia. Estimated trade losses and piracy levels for 2001 are not available.

COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT IN COLOMBIA

Several Colombian institutions and interagency groups are responsible for anti-piracy activities. Ironically, some enforcement activity has actually improved in the last few years in Colombia, although there are few results in terms of deterrent sentences and judgment issues, or actual reductions in the levels of piracy, to show for these efforts. Below is an overview of the interagency players:

- In May 1998, the copyright industries signed an interinstitutional agreement with Colombian agencies to strengthen the government commitment to fighting piracy. This agreement, approved by then-President Samper, establishes a collaborative effort between the copyright industries and government agencies.
- On February 25, 1999, President Pastrana confirmed the National Anti-Piracy Campaign, which decrees the involvement of a large number of governmental and independent agencies in the fight against piracy.⁵ This agreement reaffirmed the first anti-piracy agreement (known as CERLALC), signed in December 1995. The governmental entities involved in the 1995 agreement included the Secret Service (DAS), the General Prosecutor’s Office (*Fiscaliá*), the Investigation Corps of the Prosecutor General (CTI), and the Customs Administration. The 1999 agreement increased the number of involved agencies to include the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Ministry of Foreign Trade and the DIAN (Customs). The purpose of this public/private sector organization is to coordinate

⁵ The Colombian National Anti-Piracy Campaign is supported by the following agencies which coordinate anti-piracy efforts: The President’s Office, the Ministry of Foreign Commerce, the Ministry of Communications, the Ministry of Culture, the National Attorney General’s Office, the National Police Force, the National Author Rights Association, the National Television Commission, DIAN - the Tax and Customs Authority, the Colombian Record Producers Association, the Colombian Book Chamber, the Colombian Video Chamber (COLVIDEO), the Colombian Industrial Software Association and the Sayco Collection Society.

anti-piracy activities. Industry reports on the effectiveness of this agreement are mixed. With respect to business software actions, BSA reports that the campaign has resulted in increased enforcement efforts by Colombian law enforcement agencies.

- The Minister of Industry and Commerce was given control of the government's policy on IPR, effective January 2000. The Attorney General ordered the creation of a special unit of prosecutors and investigators (CTI) to work, at the national level, to fight copyright piracy and crimes involving telecommunications systems (Resolution No. 0-08888 of May 31, 1999). As a result, there are five special prosecutors, 15 judicial police in Bogotá, and an uncertain number in the provinces. These prosecutors coordinate action with special police forces. In Bogota alone, there are approximately 25 special prosecutors and 25 special judicial police officers.
- The National Television Commission (CNTV) indicated for years that it would take the lead in combating signal theft. Unfortunately, this well funded agency has been completely ineffective in addressing the problem of television piracy. As described below, MPA has lost confidence in the agency and its commitment to resolve the problem of television piracy.

While all these steps (listed above) seemed to be positive, the reality is that piracy is still increasing and the Colombian marketplace environment for legitimate copyrighted product remains in dire straits.

Police and Prosecutors Are Active in Conducting Criminal Actions But Have Not Been Able to Deter Piracy.

In the last three years, MPA has taken important anti-piracy action in Colombia's home video market, seizing over 40,000 pirate videos in 1999, over 18,000 videos in 2000, and in 2001, MPA seized over 40,000 pirate videos in 36 separate cases. However, the violators face absolutely no sanction, other than the loss of their pirate videos, which they quickly replace. Without government follow-through of sanctions, the replacement of seized stock is so easy that there is virtually no impact on the business. As a result, MPA's video anti-piracy efforts have not been able to deter continued growth of video piracy.

MPA's signal theft campaign continued throughout 2001. MPA does recognize the government's efforts to follow up MPA investigations with raids and recognizes that the raids are well done. However, the lengthy prosecutions continue to favor the pirate. The MPA is faced with the difficult choice of seeking settlements to quickly end the specific incident of piracy, or enduring piracy while cases work their way through the criminal process. MPA took 17 criminal actions against alleged television pirates in 2000, and 16 such cases in 2001. However, MPA's television anti-piracy strategy depended largely on a complementary effort promised by CNTV. CNTV failed to fulfill its promises and thus MPA's overall effort did not have nearly the result expected (see discussion, below).

Because of the high levels of audio piracy in Colombia, the international and national recording industries decided to form a united group (APDIF), with presence in Bogota, Cali and Medellin. This unit was fully staffed and trained to identify sources of piracy and it also trained several units of different enforcement agencies in Colombia. Unfortunately, due to the extremely

low level of attention that the Colombian government gives to piracy and the high levels of piracy affecting the market, the recording industry had to close down the APDIF operation in Colombia since September 2001. This is the first time an APDIF unit has had to close down in any country of Latin America as a result of the lack of effective support from the government and the effects of piracy in the music companies. Unless the Colombian government urgently and dramatically acts in certain markets (Bogota, Medellin and Cali would represent the immediate starting points), the piracy rates will only increase and legitimate companies will suffer even more losses. There has been good will between the industry and the Colombian enforcement authorities but the good will never contribute to decrease the high levels of piracy.

Additionally, Customs, the Colombian Tax Authority and the Judiciary, have not fully understood the need for their involvement. There have been basically no cases that are taken to the very far end in order to condemn pirates significantly to deter piracy. During 2001, there was not one single case that obtained a judgment. The pirates know that seizures of small amounts of CDs and tapes is just another cost of doing business. The battle will be never won without a clear compromise, plan and specific actions-goals from the Government.

The recording industry is trying to continue to conduct an anti-piracy campaign which results in isolated seizures and other actions, but this will not help at all. While cooperation between industry and the authorities is on-going, more profound and active involvement by Customs and the Finance Ministry (*Ministerio de Hacienda*), under the clear direction and specific instruction of the President, is urgently needed to conduct investigations to go after the big pirates that operate under schemes of organized crime. A constant and aggressive policy to face the street vending problem is also required. To this end, the active involvement of the Colombian tax authorities would be instrumental.

For business software piracy, BSA reports that unlike in the year 2000, it received strong support in 2001 from not only the DAS and Fiscalia, but also from other government authorities such as CTI, DIJIN, and SIJIN. These agencies proved critical to BSA's efforts to strengthen anti-piracy enforcement, within and outside Bogota. In 2001, 37 legal actions were conducted against end-user pirates, and more than 35 actions against reseller pirates. BSA relied on Colombian law enforcement agencies to conduct most of these actions, in part because of the continuing difficulties in obtaining civil search authority in a timely manner. Significantly, government agencies conducted several criminal raids in Cali, Bogota and Medellin.

There has been some enforcement action in Colombia on commercial book piracy. When informed of suspected problems by the publishers, Colombian authorities will confiscate infringing texts and burn them, but costs of these actions must be borne by the publishers. Currently there is no effective anti-piracy enforcement against copyshops, which operate both in and around universities and copy chapters, or even entire books.

The Colombian Judiciary Fails to Impose Deterrent Criminal Sentences.

Despite the active level of criminal raids, these actions simply do not result in deterrent penalties or criminal sentences. The Colombian judicial system remains a serious obstacle to effective enforcement. Amendments to the criminal code entered into effect on January 1, 2001. Amendments to the criminal code entered into effect on January 1, 2001, which in one of its provisions increases the imprisonment term from 1 to 3 years to 3 to 5 years.

A very recent case has come to the attention of the business software industry. In a very BSA is extremely troubled by a recent Colombian judicial decision, which directly undermines the rights of U.S. software publishers and, if upheld and followed by other courts, could prevent all US copyright-based industries from enforcing their rights against Colombian infringers. In particular, on February 8, 2002, a Colombian civil court dismissed a BSA civil infringement action against Carillo Publicidad (despite evidence of piracy), solely on the grounds that the plaintiffs had failed to prove copyright ownership. The court ruled that, under Colombian law (which includes the Berne Convention), copyright notices provide prima facie proof of copyright ownership only if the copyright owner is a natural person. However, in the case of software publishers and other corporate entities, the court held that the copyright notice is insufficient to create a presumption of copyright ownership; instead, these corporate entities must show evidence of the transfer of rights between the employee developers and the employer software publisher.

This decision contravenes the Berne Convention and international copyright standards, and establishes a burdensome and unreasonable evidentiary hurdle that cannot be met by US software publishers or other US copyright-based companies. Under U.S. copyright law, ownership of employee-created copyrighted works vests automatically in the employer (absent a written agreement to the contrary), without the need for a written transfer. Accordingly, U.S. software publishers do not need, or as a matter of course obtain, written transfers from employees. Moreover, given the fact that hundreds, or even thousands, of employees may contribute to the development of a computer program, software publishers could not, as a practical matter, document each transfer of rights or provide such evidence to a Colombian court.

CRIMINAL COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT STATISTICS			
2000			
ACTIONS	MOTION PICTURES	BUSINESS APPLICATIONS SOFTWARE	SOUND RECORDINGS
Number of Raids conducted	17(TV), 11(Video)	30	N/A
By Police		16	
By Customs		1	
Number of cases commenced	17 (TV)	9	N/A
Number of defendants convicted (including guilty pleas)	0	7	N/A
Acquittals and Dismissals		1	
Number of Cases Pending	32(TV)		
Total number of cases resulting in jail time			
Suspended Prison Terms	17(TV), 11(Video)		
Maximum 6 months			
Over 6 months			
Over 1 year			
Total Suspended Prison Terms			
Prison Terms Served (not suspended)			
Maximum 6 months			
Over 6 months		3 MONTHS	
Over 1 year			
Total Prison Terms Served (not suspended)			
Number of cases resulting in criminal fines			N/A
Up to \$1,000			
\$1,000 to \$5,000			
Over \$5,000			
Total amount of fines levied			0

CRIMINAL COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT STATISTICS			
2001			
ACTIONS	MOTION PICTURES	BUSINESS APPLICATIONS SOFTWARE	SOUND RECORDINGS
Number of Raids conducted	16 (TV) 36 (Video)	37	561
By Police		21	561
By Customs		0	
Number of cases commenced	16 (TV)	7	70
Number of defendants convicted (including guilty pleas)	0		50
Acquittals and Dismissals		1(El guajiro)	
Number of Cases Pending	44 (TV)		20
Total number of cases resulting in jail time	16 (TV) 36 (Video)		0
Suspended Prison Terms		37	50
Maximum 6 months		21	
Over 6 months	16 (TV)		
Over 1 year			
Total Suspended Prison Terms			50
Prison Terms Served (not suspended)			
Maximum 6 months			
Over 6 months			
Over 1 year			
Total Prison Terms Served (not suspended)			0
Number of cases resulting in criminal fines			50
Up to \$1,000			
\$1,000 to \$5,000			
Over \$5,000			
Total amount of fines levied			N/A

Administrative Enforcement Against Signal Theft Piracy is Ineffective.

Given the legislative attempt to abolish the CNTV in 2001, the arrest of three of its five commissioners in mid-2000, and repeated failure to redeem its pledges of effective enforcement, CNTV has been completely ineffective in addressing the problem of piracy in television. CNTV's only effort in 2000 and 2001 was a public relations campaign which served to distract attention from CNTV's decision to delay enforcement of licensing requirements and its failure to act against piracy. Without cooperation from the responsible authorities, there is little reason for industry to expend its resources in a one-sided fight against piracy.

Border Enforcement Measures Must Be Strengthened.

Colombia is faced with a major challenge to improve its border measures. Customs is a key element in the effort to control the contraband of legal and illegal product. Last year, DIAN did engage in several major actions, resulting in significant seizures. Enforcement at the Colombian borders still needs to be improved in practice, especially given the growth of optical media piracy in the region. During the first half of 2001, BSA conducted a two-day seminar for DIAN's border officials on counterfeit software products.

Civil Enforcement Efforts Suffer from Unwarranted Delays, Including Delays in Issuing *Ex Parte* Search Orders.

As part of its national enforcement campaign, BSA also uses civil remedies to pursue those persons and businesses engaged in end-user piracy. However, civil enforcement against software pirates continues to be hampered by excessive judicial delays in granting *ex parte* seizure requests. Despite efforts to educate judges on the critical importance of *ex parte* orders to effective anti-piracy enforcement, BSA routinely must wait two to three months to obtain such an order, often much longer in cities outside Bogota. Problems with the Colombian courts tend to be greatest in cities outside Bogota, where judges show less understanding of intellectual property rights, despite educational efforts. Because of the judicial delays in obtaining civil *ex parte* search authority, BSA was forced to rely heavily on criminal enforcement in 2001, conducting only a handful of civil end user actions.

CIVIL COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT STATISTICS		
ACTIONS	BUSINESS APPLICATIONS SOFTWARE 2000	BUSINESS APPLICATIONS SOFTWARE 2001
Number of civil raids conducted	7	20
Post Search Action		
Cases Pending	5	18
Cases Dropped	2	2
Cases Settled or Adjudicated		
Value of loss as determined by Right holder (\$USD)		
Settlement/Judgment Amount (\$USD)		

ENFORCEMENT OF LICENSING FOR PAY TV/CABLE OPERATORS

The Colombian government finally accomplished concrete results in the longstanding problem regarding the licensing of pay TV. After years of delays, the government issued 114 new cable television operator licenses in November 1999. At that time, MPA urged caution and continued monitoring of the issue, because the history of obtaining cable television operator licenses in Colombia is one of delays and broken promises that have resulted in serious economic damage to the industry.⁶ The need for continued monitoring of the licensing and enforcement

⁶ The licensing problem took four years to resolve. In 1995, the Colombian Television Broadcast Law 182/95 created a commission to oversee the regulation of the growing pay television market in Colombia. The CNTV pledged to establish a regulatory environment which would be conducive to the growth of a legitimate pay television market, including tough anti-piracy measures and a simple application process to obtain an operating license. From 1995 to late 1999, no operating licenses were issued, and hundreds of illegal, pirate cable operators continue to flourish throughout Colombia. The government prohibited MPA member companies from selling to unlicensed operators, while it did not license new operators. As a result, only 300,000 of the estimated 3.5 million subscribers received authorized programming; the rest received pirated signals. Some 55 new cable operator licenses were expected to be issued in mid-October 1998, but the process was suspended in November. In December 1998, a large delegation of U.S. pay television executives visited Colombia to seek a solution to this problem and press for the acceleration of the licensing process. During the visit, CNTV and Minister of Communications pledged that the licenses would be issued in July 1999. All bids were received by CNTV, and the bidding process closed on August 27, only two weeks behind the original date. The winners of the bids were notified in early October, and 114 licenses were issued in November 1999.

processes in 2001 was identified to be of paramount importance in IIPA's 2001 Special 301 submission. In particular, MPA encouraged the active participation of the CNTV in inspecting the new licensee to assure compliance with copyright law obligations and acceptable business practices. CNTV has failed completely to fulfill its promises to enforce license requirements and to enforce copyright law by inspections and cooperation with MPA. Its delays have only continued to damage MPA member company legitimate market interests and to allow piracy to continue to integrate itself in cable operators' business practices.

COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED ISSUES

Copyright Law of 1982

The 1982 copyright law, as amended in 1993 and 1997, and including a 1989 decree on computer programs, is reasonably comprehensive. Amendments to the Colombian law made in 1993 increased the level of criminal penalties for piracy, and expanded police authority to seizing infringing product. In May 1998, the Court ruled in favor of the copyright industries, holding that the economic rights of copyright owners are in fact alienable. The interplay between the Colombian law and the Andean Communities Decision 351 on copyright and neighboring rights affords a level of copyright protection close to TRIPS standards.

WIPO Treaties

With the strong support of the Colombian Copyright Office, legislation to ratify both the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT) passed the Congress in November and December 1999. Official deposit with WIPO in Geneva took place on November 29, 2000. IIPA applauds the Colombian government for taking this important step, which will contribute to raising the minimum standards of copyright protection worldwide, particularly with respect to network-based delivery of copyrighted materials, and foster the growth of electronic commerce.

Criminal Code and Criminal Procedure Code Revised

In July 2001, Colombia's new Criminal Code entered into effect. It includes copyright infringements as a crime, and significantly increases possible sanctions from a jail term from 1 to 3 years to one of 3 to 5 years.⁷ The Code also contains provisions on violation of technological protection measures and rights managements, both key obligations of the WIPO Treaties. Given past history, it seems prudent to reserve judgment on the effectiveness of these changes until the industries see how the authorities will use the new tools provided under law.

Fiscal Enforcement Legislation: Law 603

In July 2000, Colombia enacted fiscal enforcement legislation (Law 603) that requires Colombian corporations to certify compliance with copyright laws in annual reports filed with

⁷ IIPA does not have the text of this legislation.

regulatory agencies (the *Superintendencias*). Any corporation that falsely certifies copyright compliance is subject to inspection by the *Superintendencia* and could face criminal prosecution. In addition, the legislation treats software piracy as a form of tax evasion and empowers the national tax agency (DIAN) to inspect software licenses during routine tax inspections. During the second half of 2001, BSA as part of its awareness campaign in promoting Law 603, conducted two successful seminars addressed to accountants and attorneys. Likewise, BSA is still working very closely with the *Superintendencias* and DIAN to have them issue implementing guidelines.

Neither of the two agencies supervising implementation of this law have not yet taken action to implement it. Both agencies should take public steps towards implementation, such as for example, issuing implementing regulations, making public announcements to companies within their jurisdiction, training audit staff, and conducting audits.

Government Software Management

President Pastrana issued a directive in February 1999 to all government and educational institutions to use only legitimate software. Although the directive represents an important policy statement, there is no evidence that its requirements are being actively implemented by government agencies and institutions. Therefore, BSA is working closely with representatives of the Pastrana government to issue a new, more effective directive that can be enforced. It is possible that the President could issue such a government legalization decree for software in early 2002.