EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Special 301 Recommendation: IIPA recommends that Hungary remain on the Special 301 Watch List in 2006.

Actions Which the Government of Hungary Should Take in 2006:

- Develop procedural systems to overcome judicial delays, including streamlining legal investigations.
- Make clear to the judiciary (including police and prosecutors) that IPR cases are a priority.
- Impose stiffer penalties and sentences to deter copyright pirates.
- Improve the effectiveness of the border police, including *ex officio* actions to intercept pirate product imported into Hungary.
- Improve enforcement against Internet piracy (including compliance with the 2001 Act CVIII on Electronic Commerce and Information Society Services and the relevant provisions in the Criminal Code).
- Put into force the new amendments which implement the EU Enforcement Directive.
- Adopt optical media regulations to combat and control optical media production and distribution.
- Develop, with the copyright industries, a joint IPR enforcement public awareness campaign, including instructions on the detrimental effects of Internet piracy and CD-R/DVD-R burning by/in educational institutions (schools, colleges, universities).
- Introduce legislation to ban street sales of copyrighted products.

In sum, the problems adversely affecting the copyright industries in Hungary include:

- Prosecutorial delays in criminal copyright cases and delays in civil cases.
- Imposition of low fines and weak, non-deterrent sentences.
- Failure to provide TRIPS-compatible civil *ex parte* remedies in practice.
- Weak border enforcement.

As a part of Hungary’s accession into the EU in 2004, Hungary lost its eligibility in the U.S. trade preferences program known as the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). Other agreements with the U.S., such as a U.S.-Hungary trade agreement, and a subsequent IPR agreement, which contain key national treaty obligations, remain in force.
COPYRIGHT PIRACY IN HUNGARY

Internet Piracy Affects All Copyrighted Materials: In 2005, CD-R and DVD-R piracy in Hungary continues to be driven, in large part, by the Internet, which is providing an effective channel for the marketing and distribution of pirate discs. Peer-to-peer (P2P) piracy is on the increase, with the estimated number of P2P users standing at between 700,000 and 800,000, out of a total Internet user population of 2.3 million. Locally based web sites linked to File Transfer Protocol (FTP) servers that offer downloads for money remain a problem, but one that has declined with the increase in P2P piracy, where users can, of course, access pirate content free of charge. DVD-R piracy via the Internet is a local problem, with material offered from Hungarian-based websites; dubbing (for released titles) and subtitling (for titles not yet released in local theaters) are done in Hungary or neighboring countries.

Cooperation Agreements: On October 25, 2005, ASVA, the BSA and PROART (the Alliance for Copyright Protection) signed a co-operation agreement with the Anti-Crime Division of the National Police Headquarters, confirming their intention to collaborate in a united effort to address copyright crime. ASVA, the BSA and PROART also signed a similar agreement with the Tax and Financial Control Administration (APEH). The objective of this cooperation is to assist government efforts to crack down on the “black economy” and the losses sustained by Hungary’s economy as a result of piracy. The parties to the cooperation agreements have undertaken to provide mutual help through expert assistance, training and technical information.

---

1 The methodology used by IIPA member associations to calculate these estimated piracy levels and losses is described in IIPA’s 2006 Special 301 submission, and is available on the IIPA website at [www.iipa.com/pdf/2006spec301methodology.pdf](http://www.iipa.com/pdf/2006spec301methodology.pdf).

2 BSA's 2005 statistics are preliminary. They represent the U.S. publishers’ share of software piracy losses in Hungary, and follow the methodology compiled in the Second Annual BSA/IDC Global Software Piracy Study (May 2005), available at [http://www.bsa.org/globalstudy/](http://www.bsa.org/globalstudy/). These figures cover, in addition to business applications software, computer applications such as operating systems, consumer applications such as PC gaming, personal finance, and reference software. BSA’s 2004 piracy statistics were preliminary at the time of IIPA’s February 11, 2005 Special 301 filing; the 2004 data has been revised and is reflected above.

3 MPAA's trade losses and piracy levels for 2005 are available for a limited number of countries and are based on a methodology that analyzes physical or “hard” goods and Internet piracy. For a description of the new methodology, please see Appendix B of this report. As loss numbers and piracy levels become available for additional countries at a later time, they will be posted on the IIPA website, [http://www.iipa.com](http://www.iipa.com).

4 ESA’s reported dollar figures reflect the value of pirate product present in the marketplace as distinguished from definitive industry “losses.” The methodology used by the ESA is further described in Appendix B of this report.
On the basis of a 2002 cooperation agreement with the local ISPs, the recording (MAHASZ, the recording industry’s anti-piracy organization), audiovisual (ASVA), and business software industries continue to receive good cooperation from Hungarian Internet service providers (ISPs) who respond to notice requests to take down sites or links hosting illegal content (in 99% of the cases). In most cases notifying via e-mail is sufficient, although a few ISPs insist on receiving notification via fax. In 2005, the number of Cease & Desist letters (C&D) sent by the Hungarian anti-piracy group PROART nearly quadrupled, from 282 letters in 2004 to 1,068 notices last year. ASVA reports it sent out 727 C&D letters in 2005.

**Enforcement Actions:** According to ASVA (the local audiovisual anti-piracy organization), the overall number of public FTP servers is estimated at 100 (compared to 1,000 last year) and the number of web sites offering pay-per-download capabilities is estimated at 1,000 (compared to 10,000 last year). Private and community-driven FTP servers with strict membership rules are an increasing concern and ASVA estimates the number of such FTP servers to be 50. Downloading of infringing entertainment software products is also a serious concern for the video game industry. The following bullets illustrate several major actions against Internet piracy last year:

- In a major assault on Internet piracy in March 2005, authorities in Budapest executed successful raids on ObudaNet (a wireless ISP) and Interware (a broadband DSL ISP). Based on an anonymous e-mail tip, ASVA began an investigation in mid-February into the operator of an FTP server that allowed the download of films for a fee. The investigation revealed that the operator was the IT Manager of the ISP, ObudaNet, in Budapest. Further investigations revealed links between this FTP site and Hungary’s largest DC++ Hub, the Matrix Hub (this has been the country’s largest DC++ Hub since 2003). ASVA involved the Police after documenting their investigation, and on March 17, they conducted simultaneous raids on the two ISPs concerned and arrested the operator of the FTP server. The police seized the 1.2 terabyte FTP server containing 290 movies together with music and games files, and also seized hardware connected with the Matrix DC++ Hub at the Interware ISP. This Hub had a capacity of 4.5 terabytes and users needed to share a minimum of 36Gb of data in order to connect to it. The Police have been able to secure the logs on the DC++ Hub system, which they may use to initiate criminal actions against its top users. The raid on Interware resulted in a drop of over 50% in the ISP’s Internet traffic, or a drop of 1.5 Gb per second (total Internet traffic in Hungary fell by about 10%).

- On June 20, 2005, ASVA’s Internet group and the Miskolc Police department conducted a raid on a network selling DVD-Rs. Operating from two DVD rental shops, the pirates promoted a list of available movies (between 800 and 2,000 titles at any one time) on the Matrix DC++ Hub. Customers would then receive their selected titles through the mail or collect them from the shops. As a result of the raid, 1,100 DVD-Rs were seized and the two owners of the rental shops were arrested along with 7 others. In addition to this unlawful business, it was discovered that the two pirates had also created smaller hubs sharing between 50 and 100 GB of film content.

- Following an investigation and information supplied by ASVA, the Budapest Police also took down an FTP server called “DataMine” in July 2005. The server, with a capacity of 1 Terabyte, contained music, games, software and 350 films. “Datamine” operated as a club to which members had access for a monthly fee of US $15 paid directly into a bank account. The Police estimated that the “club” had around 1,200 registered members. Investigations continue into the identity of the uploaders.

- On September 13, 2005, ASVA investigators and the Police seized 4 FTP servers containing 15 terabytes of audiovisual content from ISP data centers in Budapest. The raid followed five months of investigation. The system, called “Kenyer,” was highly sophisticated, and the four “content servers” (three at the Interware ISP and one at the Thenet ISP) were protected by eight proxies (located in different Hungarian hosting facilities). End users only knew of the existence of the proxies and downloaded content from them. Sophisticated route balancing techniques were used to hide the
origin of the files. The system was community-driven, with approximately 1,600 active members exchanging files. New members had to be recommended by at least two existing members and a download to upload ratio of 1:5 was also required. A communication server (private instant messaging among users) containing thousands of e-mail addresses was also discovered in the operation and is currently under further investigation. Immediately following the raid, outgoing traffic at the Interwave ISP dropped by about 700 Mbps and total Internet traffic between all Hungarian ISPs dropped by nearly 20%.

Similarly, the music and recording industries report that illegal sites service CD-R burning and other sites offer files for downloading and file-sharing. Although Internet penetration in Hungary is still relatively low, it is slowly increasing, but an overwhelming percentage of it is broadband, making it easier to transfer larger files (music, movies, etc.). The Internet is used in two ways: (1) marketing and distribution support for offline piracy (ordering burned CDs on the Internet, etc.); and (2) the fast-growing significance of “real” online piracy, such as FTP servers, P2P activity (mostly on a specifically Hungarian network, called DC++), and simple uploading of files to private web pages – the latter significantly decreasing due to C&D messages constantly being sent out. Although the number of sites offering illegal music content decreased significantly, the usage of file sharing services is more and more widespread, and the situation is getting worse due to increasing broadband penetration.

Another difficult problem is the complex interrelationships between various online providers (ISPs, webspace providers, server farms, etc.). For example, it may happen that the provider assists in re-linking the infringing content after a cease and desist procedure was initiated. This is, of course, very difficult to prove, but the industries’ experiences after over 1,000 warning letters seem to suggest this. Other pirate services, such as downloading an illegal file for a fee paid via SMS (short message service, used in mobile telephone text messaging) may actually generate significant revenues for the mobile phone providers: they are entitled to over 50% of the revenue on any SMS sent (revenue split: 50-60% MSP, 10-15% SMS service company, 10-20% web hoster, 10-20% content provider). These providers (which include international companies) are of course legitimate and they pay their taxes, but if they were more cooperative in filtering illegal operations, the pirates would have more difficulty in conducting their activities. Therefore, the recording industry is eager to cooperate more with these companies. These is one of the easiest and most widely used forms of payment today: traditional postage checks are more time consuming while online banking is not very widespread and/or card holders are reluctant to provide their card number to other parties. And finally, those providing broadband access partly owe their increasing revenues to piracy: legitimate broadband services (subscription, etc.) are not common, yet many users sign up for broadband because of all the available illegal material on the Internet.

Optical Media Piracy: According to local industries, there are currently three optical disc plants (using SID codes), plus a fourth making CD-Rs in Hungary, with a total of 14 lines in all the plants. There are no known dedicated DVD plants, but two of the lines in the existing plants are DVD lines. The total plant capacity is estimated to be as high as 49 million discs per year; manufacturing is done not only for the local Hungarian market but for other countries such as Serbia and Montenegro, Romania, etc. as well. It is unclear how many of the plants are operational, or how many are engaged in illegal activity (undetectable unauthorized production or overproduction), although there are suspicions about one of the plants being engaged in unauthorized activity. However, the existence of these plants, without a comprehensive licensing and inspection scheme or transparency about their operations, clearly calls for regulations on the manufacturing and distribution of optical discs. The obligatory SID Codes should be a minimum requirement. The Hungarian government is urged to set up plant monitoring procedures like others in the region, to regulate the facilities and equipment where optical discs are manufactured.
**Domestic Problem – “Burning”**: All the industries report problems with locally mass-produced CD-R pirate materials—where most of the CD-R material originates; these materials predominate in Hungary because of the relatively low local prices of CD burners and blank CD-Rs. CD-burning is also done by private users—especially students and small retail operations—but it is done in large measure by organized crime syndicates in the case of entertainment software and music products. The sale of locally burned pirate DVD-Rs at flea markets, by street vendors, and in video retail shops, is an increasing concern. In Budapest’s largest weekend flea market (Petőfi Hall), there are about ten stalls where customers can place orders for pirate product after consulting lists or inlay catalogs of available titles. Pirate DVD-Rs are increasingly found at other flea markets across Budapest (including, for example, at the Jozsefvaros and Verseny-Utica markets).

Following a six-week long investigation by ASVA (the local motion picture anti-piracy organization), the Pest County Police raided a flea market in Erd last April (one of the largest flea markets in the Budapest area) and seized 1,600 DVD-Rs, 1,300 CD-Rs, 4 DVD burners and 5 PCs. Five pirate vendors were arrested (including one off-duty police officer). ASVA and the Pest County Police regularly control the Budapest area and before this raid, they had previously cleaned up flea markets in Gyál and Győmrő. On July 9, 2005, ASVA and the Police raided a flea market on Verseny Street, one of the largest in Budapest. 1,400 inlays and 2,000 DVD-Rs containing a wide selection of movies, including *War of the Worlds*, were seized. And on December 4, 2005, ASVA investigators and the Budapest Police, as part of an on-going operation targeting the flea market at Verseny utca, discovered a DVD-R lab during a house search. Three PCs with 18 DVD burners, 6 printers, 12 scanners, thousands of inlays and over 5,000 burned discs were seized. This was the largest pirate lab discovered in Hungary to date.

**Import Piracy Problem**: Hungary remains a major destination for illegal copies of CDs, and especially, in the case of entertainment software, factory-produced CDs, DVDs, DVD-Rs and CD-Rs. The two major sources of pirated entertainment software on optical disc sold in Hungary are Ukraine and Russia. The recording industry does not report a CD-R import problem; its problem is the importation of pirated music CDs from Russia, and to a lesser degree, Ukraine, as well as from Serbia and Montenegro. The film industry reports that the importation of pirate DVDs from Russia and Ukraine has significantly decreased (the number of seized DVDs decreased by 60% in 2005 compared to 2004).

**Business Software Piracy**: Business Software Alliance (BSA) reports that in 2005, although optical disc piracy of software is still the cause of considerable damage, the incidents and damages caused by Internet-based piracy increased dramatically. This is due to the increased penetration of broadband. While OD piracy is geographically limited (open market, acquaintances), Internet piracy is not, and the software industry experiences a mix of both, namely when the illegal software is ordered through the Internet, and the operator of the site sends the ordered software to the customer via regular mail, who pays for the software afterwards by check. Preliminary estimated trade losses due to business software piracy in Hungary were US$64.7 million, with a 42% piracy rate. Lowering the business software piracy levels in Hungary will contribute to the local economy.5

---

5 BSA and International Data Corporation (IDC) released a new study on December 8, 2005, which illustrates global economic gains from reducing software piracy. This report, *Expanding the Frontiers of Our Digital Future: Reducing Software Piracy to Accelerate Global IT Benefits*, using 2004 data, found the following: a 10-point drop in Hungary’s piracy rate (from 44% to 34%) could add $720 million to its economy, increase local industry revenues by more than $480 million, and pump an additional $145 million into Hungary's tax treasury. The 10-point reduction could also create nearly 2,500 new IT jobs. See [http://www.bsa.org/idcstudy/pdfs/Hungary.pdf](http://www.bsa.org/idcstudy/pdfs/Hungary.pdf).
Record and Music Piracy: The nature of piracy of music and sound recordings in Hungary has not changed significantly over the past year, but the number of online infringements (see Internet piracy discussion, above) is growing rapidly while the level of “traditional” physical piracy is stagnating. On-line piracy (mostly file-sharing and DC++ system in particular) is now probably the number one concern. However, traditional forms, i.e., pirate optical discs at flea markets (e.g. Petőfi hall, Csarnok, Verseny u. piac, Gyáli piac, Veresegyházi piac), in secondhand CD shops etc are still common. With respect to industrial piracy, most of these discs are produced in Ukraine and/or Russia, and they are of exceptionally good quality, with nearly faultless production and packaging. Thus it is very difficult to determine their illegal nature. Many of these are sold through secondhand CD shops, giving them a legitimate cover operation. In addition, a Hungarian government subsidization program (“sulinet”) aimed at providing teachers and students with computers, has given a boost, unfortunately, also to illegal uses (all computers now come preloaded with a CD/DVD burner). Preliminary recording industry estimates suggest that it may have experienced a drop as much as 18% in records sales for 2005. The downsizing at record companies is still a sad necessity, resulting in a further number of lost jobs. Specific record shops have nearly completely disappeared in the country, with retail confined more and more within the walls of hypermarkets. Even the music CD sections in these stores are shrinking rapidly, most of them only carrying a very limited selection of repertoire. The recording industry estimates the level of music piracy in Hungary in 2005 was 30% of the market, with estimated trade losses for the U.S. share placed at US$12 million. The one bright spot has been (as mentioned above) the creation of PROART, a joint anti-piracy organization of record producers plus collecting societies of film creators, performers, and authors which is aimed at fighting both online and offline piracy. In September 2005, PROART launched a very successful six week campaign entitled “Together for Music” to promote legal ways to obtain music.

Audiovisual Piracy: In addition to the optical disc and Internet piracy problems already described, the motion picture industry reports local television and cable piracy. This consists of stations broadcasting or retransmitting films that they have no rights to or, in some cases, using pirate videocassettes for broadcast (especially by small cable providers in small villages, and often owned by the local authorities). ASVA reports the conduct of 23 investigations into cable piracy in 2005. For 2005, MPA’s methodology for calculating estimated piracy losses and piracy levels changed, and includes estimated losses and levels due to Internet piracy. This new methodology more accurately evaluates the market harm caused by audiovisual piracy in Hungary (compared to prior methodologies). For 2005, MPA reports that preliminary estimated losses in Hungary due to audiovisual piracy (including both hard goods and Internet) were $102 million, and the estimated piracy level was 73%.

Entertainment Software Piracy: The entertainment software industry reports a strong legitimate market for its products in the country’s large stores, as well as in music and software stores. The availability of pirated entertainment software products on optical disc appears to have declined from weekend market venues, as well as from smaller retail shops in particular market districts. Pirated cartridge-based games continue to be exported to the country from China; piracy of these video game products appears to have grown worse in the last year in the market districts, as well as at informal markets. When an “informal” retail outlet is closed down, it simply re-opens in another location. Pirated products still remain readily available at Petőfi Stadium, although they are not sold openly. It is believed that organized criminal syndicates control the supply and distribution of material at the stadium (not unlike the problems encountered at Poland’s Warsaw Stadium). At the Petőfi Stadium, the modus operandi is for “runners” to deliver the merchandise selected by customers from catalogues provided by the vendors. The order is placed by telephone and promptly delivered 15 to 20 minutes later. The customer is instructed to rendezvous with a “runner” to ensure
that enforcement actions do not compromise the vendor/supplier or the location of their goods. Prices range from HUF 1,000 to 3,000 (approximately US$5 to US$15), depending on the game product selected. There is little or no stock on hand available at the stalls in order to avoid seizure of the products in the event a raid is conducted. Pirated products on optical media are also being imported from Ukraine. In addition to optical disc and cartridge-based video game piracy, piracy of mobile games is also occurring in Hungary; with this form of piracy, pirated entertainment software is downloaded directly from the Internet onto mobile devices or memory cards used in such devices. ESA reports an estimated piracy level of entertainment software in Hungary at 30%.

**Piracy of Books and Journals:** The book and journal publishing industry reports, that the same problems persist—the unauthorized photocopying of printed materials, and of academic textbooks in particular. The book publishing industry estimates losses of $4 million in 2005.

**COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT IN HUNGARY**

**Criminal Enforcement:** Many of the industries report generally good police cooperation on raids and seizures. However, the high levels of piracy in Hungary continue to be at troubling levels because deterrence is not parsed through the end of the criminal prosecution. In addition, actions have not generally been pursued against upstream targets responsible for the importation and distribution of pirated products in the market (particularly the syndicates that operate through the Petofi Stadium).

The BSA, ASVA and PROART concluded a cooperation agreement with the National Police Headquarters on October 25, 2005, in which the police undertook to provide assistance for the professional activities of these copyright organizations (for instance, inform them about the cases, and coordinate the related professional activities of the police). These groups will provide assistance to the police for its crime prevention and investigation activities (including case development, training, technical expertise). As a result, cooperation between the police and the copyright anti-piracy groups became more regularized during 2005.

- BSA reports that in 2005, Hungarian police took *ex officio* actions (85 *ex officio* actions, all against targets of smaller significance) on the basis of Article 6, sub-article 1 of the Criminal Procedure Act. This is nearly the same number of actions as in 2004. In surveying case results for 2005, BSA reports that the courts issued approximately 30 judgments in BSA cases. Most of the sentences resulted in fines (typically US$500, the maximum fine was US$1,000). There were also cases in which the defendant was sentenced to probation for one year, or was sentenced to jail for one year (suspended), or sentence to do public service. BSA obtained 20 criminal judgments in 2004 and 30 in 2005.

- The entertainment software industry (ESA) reports that some of its members also had good levels of cooperation with the police, and with customs authorities. Several cases initiated in 2004 were settled successfully.

- The recording industry indicates that, in general, the number of cases handled by the police has not decreased (full year data is not yet available). However, only a small percentage of these cases reach court, due to the lack of commitment and/or awareness on the prosecutors’ part. In terms of online actions, 1,068 cease and desist letters were issued, and all sites were removed. In terms of raids, the industry reports 327 by police and 38 by customs, resulting in the seizures
of 48,300 pirated optical discs, 107 computer hard drives and 13 servers. The authorities are taking ex officio actions, especially in the flea markets.

More detailed discussion of internet enforcement actions appears above, under the Internet Piracy section.

**Prosecutorial Bottlenecks:** The biggest bottleneck lies with prosecutors who supervise the criminal cases, and judges. Due to the lack of fundamental knowledge, the unfortunate practice of prosecutors is to dismiss most copyright cases as crimes, which seriously de-motivates the police and customs officers. The problem lies also in the general attitude within the prosecutors to accomplish a 100% success rate. This is a leftover from the Soviet era, where all cases that are taken to a court must result in a condemning verdict. It follows that the prosecution takes substantially fewer cases to the court than the police/customs is investigating. The industries are working hard to change the current practice by training prosecutors and holding judicial conferences.

Furthermore, the recording industry reports that on many occasions, the expert opinions given to the police by registered experts of intellectual property are very imperfect at best. There is a number of experts in the Organization of Intellectual Property Experts (which operates under the Hungarian Patent Office) we work together with, who are well aware of the nature of piracy and so on, but it is always up to the authorities to choose the expert they wish to get the official opinion from. The practical problem is that the authorities have developed their “favorites,” who are not necessarily fully qualified. PROART has had difficulties in trying to convince the authorities to use the qualified experts only. At the same time, the right holders are unable to give expert opinions, as they are considered to be interested parties. At best, right holders can only act as consultants in criminal cases.

**Delays and Non-Deterrent Penalties:** Unfortunately, even given the successes with raids and seizures, prosecutorial delays and weak sentences (for the few criminal cases that do reach judgment) remain a serious problem. Despite generally good cooperation from the police, the audiovisual and recording industries report that Hungarian prosecutors and judges remain reluctant to treat copyright infringements as serious crimes. Securing adequate prosecution and deterrent sentencing from the courts is still difficult. The motion picture industry reports that prosecutorial indifference remains a major impediment to combating piracy. AVSA has turned to alternative enforcement schemes including the use of tax authorities, consumer protection bodies, and local licensing offices. However, criminal penalties must be effectively utilized if the overall piracy levels are to improve.

In 2005, BSA reports that criminal procedures are getting faster in certain cases (typically criminal actions conducted against targets of smaller significance) in certain regions of Hungary. This is due to the fact that some police headquarters work actively in the intellectual property piracy cases and generate more cases—in cooperation with the right holders—therefore the competent courts gained wide experience in these cases, which results in fewer hearings, and consequently in a faster procedure. Nevertheless, in spite of this progress, and the average duration of the proceedings (two years in both criminal and civil procedures), the problem of the weak sanctions (fines are very low—less than US$500, and prison sentences are regularly suspended) remained the same in 2005 as they were in 2004.

ESA member companies report that the majority of these cases continue to wind slowly through the courts. Some have been pending since 2002 against small retail outfits (so-called
“small fish”). While police cooperation, as noted above, remains good, the delay in resolving cases does not provide any degree of deterrence in the market.

**Border Enforcement:** The film industry reports that the importation of pirate DVDs from Russia and Ukraine has significantly decreased (the number of seized DVDs decreased by 60% in 2005 compared to 2004). Weak border enforcement is a longstanding concern, especially because of Hungary’s proximity to Ukraine and Russia, which remain the primary producers and exporters of optical disc materials in the region. ASVA reports increased *ex officio* actions at the border in 2005.

The IPED (Intellectual Property Enforcement Department within Customs) consists of one dedicated IP customs officer in each of the six customs regions. The first impression is that IPED appears willing to cooperate with the copyright industries; it has also expressed a need for additional training on IP matters. ESA member companies did provide training to 50 IPED Customs agents from several ports. As noted above, while the members of these units appear enthusiastic and cooperative, these units have only been in operation for a year. ASVA reports that IPED agreed to mobilize teams to conduct investigations and raids at the border and inside Hungary in cooperation with ASVA, based on its customs authority (including the right to inspect tax authority–related documents).

**Civil Enforcement, Delays and Low Damages:** The business software industry remains concerned with several civil provisions: (1) the absence of effective civil *ex parte* measures to secure evidence of suspected infringements; (2) generally slow civil proceedings (an average of two years in civil cases); and (3) a tendency by judges to compute harm (damages) to rightholders at less than the retail value of the products concerned. The Hungarian government has taken steps to try to resolve the issue of effective civil *ex parte* search orders, but more work is needed. An amendment to the copyright act, effective December 12, 2003, permits the courts to order temporary measures to be undertaken, including search orders and seizures, within 15 days after an injured party makes a petition for such measures.

BSA did not undertake any new civil *ex parte* raids in 2004 or 2005. During 2004, BSA did have five end-user cases ongoing in the civil courts, and it filed 20 end-user civil claims and obtained settlements in ten cases with end users. During 2005, BSA had four end user cases ongoing in the civil courts, and it filed approximately 70 civil claims, and obtained settlements in 15 cases involving end users.

In software piracy cases, the courts routinely require fact-finding examinations and reports by experts that take two to three months to conduct in civil cases. BSA reports that this expert report is typically conducted during the investigative phase of a criminal case and takes less time. BSA believes that the preparation of expert reports is necessary for its cases in both the criminal and civil context. The report can only impede the progress and outcome in the civil cases if the procedures are commenced against the suspect – rare in Hungary because there are few civil cases to date.

**Training:** BSA regularly provides trainings, seminars, and lectures to the authorities (police, customs, public prosecutors, judges) in Hungary, including legal discussions and technical questions involving product identification and the like. Entertainment software publishers also conducted training seminars for customs and other law enforcement agencies in 2005 which appeared to have been well received. On April 26, 2005, to coincide with WIPO’s Worldwide Intellectual Property Day, ASVA organized a cross-industry IP conference to increase official and public awareness of the seriousness of IP crime. It was attended by around 100 LEA
In August 2005, ASVA organized a national training seminar for Customs that was attended by 40 Customs Officers. Instead of organizing planned regional seminars for police, public prosecutors, and judges, ASVA visited the police departments of 15 counties during 2005. ASVA also reports that a PR awareness campaign was launched in November 2005. PROART is training the authorities (customs officers, conferences for judges and prosecutors) on how to handle these illegal activities (to increase the number of the *ex officio* actions), and also delivers them relevant information (new regulations, technical information, the new *modus operandi* of pirate activities, etc.). PROART intends to increase these efforts in 2006, including plans to visit regional police departments and prosecution offices. In 2006, PROART will repeat its successful 2005 public awareness campaign to promote legal uses of music and educate the public against illegal copying, downloading and pirating music.

**COPYRIGHT LAW AND REFORM IN HUNGARY**

**EU Enforcement Directive:** The Hungarian Parliament approved a new law (Act CLXV of 2005) on December 19, 2005 to implement the EU Enforcement Directive (2004/48/EC). This package amended the Civil Procedure Code, the Copyright Law and other relevant legal acts, to implement the EU Enforcement Directive. It contains new legal institutions and terms, such as the presumption of authorship, measures for preserving evidence (importantly, *ex parte* actions before commencement of an action), the term of commercial scale, right of information, provisional measures, etc.). The law provides the right holders and the courts with more tools during the civil enforcement cases; therefore civil enforcement presumably will be more efficient. Some elements entered into effect on January 1, 2006, and the remaining provisions will enter into force on April 15, 2006. It is still early to evaluate how these measures will be implemented in practice, but the rights holders hope that these new provisions will improve enforcement.

**EU Resale Right Act:** The Hungarian Parliament also approved the Resale Right Amendment (Act CVIII of 2005), effective January 1, 2006, to implement the resale right for the benefit of the author of an original work of art.

**Copyright Law:** Hungary amended its copyright law in 1999 and 2003 in order to comply with international norms and accede to the European Union (the Copyright Directive (2001/29/EC)). Amendments in Act CII of 2003 updated almost all of the Hungarian IP regulations, which entered into force on May 1, 2004, on the date Hungary became a member of the EU. As mentioned above, further amendments to the Copyright Law were accomplished in December 2005 to implement the Enforcement Directive.

**Optical Media Regulations:** Hungarian government should craft and issue optical media regulations to better regulate the manufacture of optical disc products—especially now that there are four plants in Hungary. The global copyright community is in agreement on the key elements of an effective optical disc law that include the licensing of facilities (and equipment) where discs are manufactured along with the export and import of materials used. Manufacturers should be obliged to use codes to identify genuine product, and to register for certification to be genuine duplicators, and to keep accurate records. Authorities in turn should have the right to inspect facilities and seize products and equipment where appropriate, with the power to penalize offenders under threat of revocation of license, fines, or the closure of the plant. The copyright industries look forward to working with Hungarian authorities to draft, implement and enforce such comprehensive optical disc regulations.