EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Special 301 Recommendation: IIPA recommends that Argentina remain on the Priority Watch List in 2005.

Overview of Key Problems: Despite the recent upswing in the Argentine economy, which has helped sales of legitimate products in some industries (e.g., CDs, DVDs, business software), piracy remains an endemic problem in the country. More and more optical media and the illegal use of CD-R burners undermine the ability of all the copyright industries to compete with legitimate product in Argentina, and photocopying remains a major problem for book publishers. Estimated U.S. trade losses due to copyright piracy in Argentina were $138.5 million in 2004.

The copyright industries face continuing enforcement hurdles in Argentina, despite concerted efforts by industry anti-piracy actions. Raids and seizures still have not translated into numerous prosecutions and deterrent sentences. With respect to legislative efforts, a package of copyright amendments to Argentina’s 1933 Copyright Act, aimed at elevating the law’s substantive obligations, was circulated in mid-2001, but has been stalled for years. Additional legislative projects aimed at improving distinct legal issues (such as damages, criminal sanctions, term of protection) have been proposed and merit consideration by the legislature, especially if comprehensive reform is not forthcoming.

Actions Which the Argentine Government Should Take in 2005

Enforcement

• Enforce the current copyright and criminal laws in practice, by conducting more raids, and importantly, pressing for more criminal prosecutions;
• Commit to a coordinated anti-piracy campaign as a matter of national priority;
• Instruct Argentine prosecutors and courts to make copyright piracy cases a priority so that Argentina begins to meet its existing multilateral and bilateral obligations;
• Improve border enforcement significantly. Forge partnerships at the tri-border region with counterpart Brazilian and Paraguayan officials that would include establishing a program to inspect goods in transit for potential pirate product; and
• Encourage federal and local police Internet crime units to address the problem of illegal downloads.

Legislative

• Revive efforts to improve the draft amendments to the 1933 Copyright Act, which are still being reviewed within the Ministry of Justice. Any revival effort must include implementation of the obligations of TRIPS and the two WIPO digital treaties; this should include (for example):
Affording express protection of temporary copies, distribution and rental rights, and communication to the public/making available right;

- Adopting provisions on technological protection measures and electronic rights management information;
- Providing for notice and take down provisions, including clear ISP liability; and
- Adopting provisions on border measures (ex officio).

- Adopt the bill to amend the copyright law to provide for statutory damages and the seizures of infringing equipment;
- Consider proposing an anti-piracy bill that will increase criminal penalties for piracy and characterize IPR violations as a serious crime;
- Support legislation to extend terms of protection for phonograms and other works not measured by the life of the author to 95 years from publication;
- Support efforts to issue an executive decree that would require government legalization of current business software programs on computers and improve procurement practices; and
- Improve provisions of a draft Presidential decree seeking to incorrectly implement the scope of performers’ rights in Article 56 of the Copyright Law.

---

**ARGENTINA**

**Estimated Trade Losses Due to Copyright Piracy**

*(in millions of U.S. dollars) and Levels of Piracy: 2000-2004*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Records &amp; Music²</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entertainment</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software³</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Software⁴</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion Pictures</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2 Estimated trade losses for the recording industry reflect the impact of significant devaluation during 2002. The levels of pirate product in 2003 and 2004 are based on a third-party survey to improve accuracy of the statistics.

3 ESA’s reported dollar figures reflect the value of pirate product present in the marketplace as distinguished from definitive industry “losses.”

4 BSA’s final 2003 figures represent the U.S. software publisher’s share of software piracy losses in Argentina, as compiled in October 2004 (based on a BSA/IDC July 2004 worldwide study, found at [http://www.bsa.org/globalstudy/](http://www.bsa.org/globalstudy/)). In prior years, the “global” figures did not include certain computer applications such as operating systems, or consumer applications such as PC gaming, personal finance, and reference software. These software applications are now included in the estimated 2003 losses resulting in a significantly higher loss estimate ($69 million) than was reported in prior years. The preliminary 2003 losses which had appeared in previously released IIPA charts were based on the older methodology, which is why they differ from the 2003 numbers in this report.
COPYRIGHT PIRACY

Piracy losses are once again increasing in some industry sectors after coming down sharply over the past few years.\(^5\) It is important to note, however, that the decline came as a result of overall economic instability in Argentina at the time, not because of lower piracy levels in-country.

The audiovisual industry reports that Argentina’s continuing economic rebound is changing the dynamic of piracy in the audiovisual sector. Through June 2004, estimates from local video representatives indicated that sales of DVD players increased 200% over all of 2003. Consequently, the piracy market in Argentina has also begun a steady and sustained shift to this format. Piracy in Argentina’s retail stores remains the number one piracy issue. With DVD rentals increasing in the past six months, reports indicate that high-quality DVD-Rs are coming into the market. Illegal duplication labs previously producing high-quality VHS counterfeits are now engaging in pirated DVD-Rs, which are provided to video stores. For example, pirate DVD copies of the feature film *Troy* were available on the streets of Buenos Aires for 17 pesos (US$5.80) only days after its debut in U.S. theaters. The growth in the sale of DVD players has had an impact on the demand for pirated optical discs, making DVD-Rs an even greater threat to theatrical exhibition and home entertainment sell through markets. Finally, content from the Internet downloaded and burned onto CD-Rs is also available during or just prior to theatrical release. These CD-Rs, combined with increasingly available DVD-Rs, are being sold at storefront kiosks and via the Internet on specialized websites. With the increased availability of broadband both in homes and Internet cafés, concerns are escalating that illegal Internet downloads will become more of a threat to legitimate sales and distribution.

The recording industry indicates that the preferred piracy format in Argentina is burned CD-Rs; the blank CD-Rs come mostly from Taiwan, go through Uruguay and land in Argentina, as goods in transit, on their way to Paraguay. These same CD-Rs come back into Argentine territory for piracy purposes. Thousands of street vendors take advantage of these CD-Rs throughout the country and are rapidly putting tax-paying legitimate retailers out of business. Although some raids have taken place in downtown Buenos Aires, the interior of the country is plagued with street vendors selling pirate product. States like Tucuman, Santa Fe and Cordoba have been practically lost to pirates. Another major concern is the widespread offering of “home delivery” for pirate product. These services advertise in newspapers, websites, and through e-mails, custom replication of any music CD and direct delivery to a customer’s home. The recording industry estimates that roughly 20% of all pirate products are being sold through this “home delivery” system. Some informal surveys indicate that millions of songs are being pirated through illegal downloads. The industry is working on measuring the full impact of Internet piracy, but this situation is consistent with any country that has a high level of Internet penetration.

The entertainment software industry suffers from several forms of piracy in Argentina including: (a) the importation of cartridge-based videogames (primarily from the People’s Republic of China and Hong Kong) as well as console-based videogames (primarily from Malaysia); (b) reproduction-on-demand whereby entertainment software is burned onto blank

\(^5\) IIPA also has filed 301 reports on Argentina in previous years; they are all posted at [http://www.iipa.com/countryreports.html](http://www.iipa.com/countryreports.html). Argentina is a beneficiary country under the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) trade program. During the first 11 months of 2004, $503.1 million worth of Argentine goods (or 14.8% of Argentina’s total exports to the U.S. from January to November) entered the U.S. under the duty-free GSP code, representing a 23.4% increase over the same period in 2003.
CD-Rs; and (c) Internet piracy, where websites offer pirated videogame software for sale.

Internet café piracy, where the café owners install unlicensed or pirated entertainment software onto café computers, is a growing problem.

Piracy of business software programs among end-users remains quite high, especially in small and medium-sized organizations. Larger organizations may have some licenses to use software, but commonly these licenses only cover a small percentage of the software in use. During 2004, shipments of computer software improved because of the stronger economy, and this in turn produced a larger market for pirated software. Furthermore, the software industry is concerned about the increasing illegal importation of computer hardware parts and components, which are then assembled into computers and frequently loaded by system builders and assemblers with illegal software. Much of this contraband hardware arrives in Paraguay, and then enters Brazil, Argentina and Uruguay. Stronger border measures and much better border enforcement are necessary to combat this practice.

The book publishing industry reports widespread photocopying in and around university campuses. Commercial copyshops located near the universities, as well student unions and organizations within the universities, are the primary venues for this illegal photocopying.

**COPYRIGHT ENFORCEMENT**

Many elements of Argentina’s enforcement regime are incompatible with its current obligations under the WTO TRIPS Agreement, including: the failure to impose deterrent criminal penalties in commercial piracy cases; lengthy delays in bringing and completing both criminal and civil infringement cases; ineffective border measures; and the unavailability of deterrent civil damages.

Criminal enforcement has always been cumbersome, costly, time-consuming and lacking in deterrent impact on the market for copyrighted products. While the results on criminal enforcement remain far from ideal, the willingness of the Argentine authorities to take initial actions was somewhat encouraging in 2004. IIPA is encouraged by the role being played by the *Secretaria de Seguridad Interior* in coordinating key raids in major flea markets during 2004. We have been informed that the *Secretaria* will be assigned more enforcement personnel in 2005. IIPA hopes to see more actions in 2005.

In 2004, the MPA supported the UAV (Argentine Video Committee) and the INCAA (National Film Institute) in forming a closer and more effective alliance for the purpose of identifying pirate video material, and giving store owners the opportunity to surrender counterfeit product. Of the approximately 6,000 video stores in Argentina, more than 600 have been jointly inspected by UAV and INCAA. These efforts have served to raise anti-piracy awareness while simultaneously increasing the availability of legal video products and tax revenue for the government of Argentina. In public outreach efforts, the UAV, MPA legal counsel, and the INCAA also have cooperated on anti-piracy public education activities and programs held at national film festivals, individual seminars, and workshops.

The business software industry has relied on civil enforcement, given the difficulties with criminal enforcement. However, the industry reports that they face procedural delays before being able to obtain and conduct civil searches in business piracy cases. Moreover, in 2004 BSA noted a tendency of the courts to increase the amount of the bond required to grant the
The civil search. This TRIPS violation has forced BSA to withdraw several requests for inspections. The good news is that the mediations required by civil procedure facilitated the resolution of quite a few cases by the BSA during 2004.

COPYRIGHT LAW

Argentina’s 1933 Copyright Act (as amended) has been under review for many years. Argentina already has deposited its instruments of access to the WIPO Copyright Treaty and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty; full implementation into national law is the next necessary step. Several legislative reforms are underway.

The package of copyright amendments, circulated in July 2001 to selected industry representatives, apparently is still under review within the Ministry of Justice. As reported in our previous filing, the 2001 package failed to address many of the enforcement deficiencies and required further clarification with respect to other key issues for the copyright industries. These deficiencies still need to be corrected before introduction to the Congress if Argentina is to have a modern copyright law which promotes e-commerce and investment.

Also in 2001, a bill was introduced and approved by the Chamber of Deputies which would enhance measures to aid in the anti-piracy fight. It would allow the courts to impose compulsory and progressive damages in copyright infringement cases and also the destruction of infringing material and reproduction equipment. Plaintiffs in a copyright infringement case could also be compensated for damages assessed as (a) the real damage suffered by the plaintiff or (b) a judicial assessment within a minimum of $1,000 and a maximum of $1,000,000 (punitive damages) for each infringement, whichever is higher. Unfortunately, this bill was not approved within the requisite time frame, and subsequently did not pass. IIPA urges the government of Argentina to reintroduce and pass this bill.

In November 2004, the Argentine Senate approved a bill to increase the term of protection for phonograms. The bill will be introduced to the House of Representatives in March 2005 when the new legislative session begins. We encourage the government of Argentina to support approval of this bill to ensure protection of the vast and rich catalog of Argentine music.

In addition, draft anti-piracy laws are pending in Argentina which would increase penalties for copyright infringement and circumvention of technical measures for all media.

In 2004, the President issued a draft decree implementing Article 56 of the Copyright Law. This decree gives performers (including actors and musicians) the exclusive right to authorize (in writing) the reproduction, distribution, and public performance of their performances. In addition, the decree provides performers with an unwaivable right of compensation for the rental of their works. The draft decree also allows for the creation of a

6 For examples of some of the key problems with this proposal, see IIPA’s 2002 Special 301 submission at http://www.iipa.com/rbc/2002/2002SPEC301ARGENTINA.pdf (pages 63-64). In fact, separate comments filed then by the motion picture, recording and business software industries in Argentina also identified numerous problems with the draft, including: inadequate scope of exclusive rights; overbroad exceptions to protection; inadequate definitions regarding the ownership of copyrighted materials; onerous contractual provisions; inadequate terms of protection; failure to establish a comprehensive definition of audiovisual work and the public performance rights; failure to create deterrent provisions for the circumvention of technological measures of protection; inadequate enforcement remedies on injunctive relief, seizure authority, the scope and level of criminal penalties, ex officio authority at the border; and onerous deposit requirements.
performers’ collecting society. The proposed decree appears to exceed the scope of the Copyright Law by granting these exclusive rights to performers, to the extent that the current law only grants performers a right of remuneration for reproduction, public performances, and some other communications. MPA notes in particular that the draft decree further exceeds the scope of the current law by extending to actors the right of remuneration for public communication of their performances. Currently, this right extends only to musicians.

In addition, the decree subjects these rights of remuneration to mandatory collective management, with the burden of payment falling on users, and, with respect to rental remuneration, on video retail outlets. The portion of the decree seeking to provide performers with exclusive rights of authorization, in writing, should be deleted as it would provide performers with more rights than they currently enjoy under Article 56. The application of mandatory collective management to the remuneration rights granted to performers should be revised to allow for individual rights management, in recognition of freedom of contract principles and transferability of economic rights (including remuneration rights). Mandatory collective management applied across the board to all copyright works renders ineffective contractual agreements controlling the assignment of rights and payment of remuneration (for example, as in collective bargaining agreements and individual agreements normally concluded in connection with the production of U.S. audiovisual works).

**CUSTOMS VALUATION**

Argentina bases its customs duties on audiovisual works and sound recordings on assessments of potential royalties. Customs duties should be based on specific fees or be *ad valorem*, based on the value of the physical carrier medium only. Customs duties, based on royalties or income, serve as a form of double taxation because royalties are generally subject to withholding, income and/or remittance taxes. The film and recording industries seek a modification of the Argentine Customs Valuation Code and/or an exemption from the *ad valorem* duty.