SAUDI ARABIA
INTERNATIONAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ALLIANCE (IIPA)
2011 SPECIAL 301 REPORT ON COPYRIGHT PROTECTION AND ENFORCEMENT

Special 301 Recommendation: IIPA recommends that Saudi Arabia be returned to the Watch List.¹ In the 2010 Special 301 cycle, IIPA supported USTR’s removal of Saudi Arabia from the Watch List, based on actions taken by the Saudi Government in 2009 and early 2010. However, IIPA noted that if continuing and comprehensive progress was not made to reduce high piracy rates through the imposition of deterrent penalties and other actions, IIPA would recommend that the Kingdom be placed on the Watch List for the 2011 Special 301 cycle. As detailed below, such progress has not been made.

Executive Summary: As we have noted for many years, piracy rates are higher in the Kingdom than in any other country in the Gulf region for the motion picture, music and recording industries (in the 90% range) and unacceptably high for the business software, entertainment software and publishing industries. Despite this, however, IIPA recommended that Saudi Arabia be removed from the Watch List and noted the excellent efforts which were then being made by MOCI Deputy Minister Al-Haazaa to continue to take action against piracy and to achieve the OCR benchmarks identified by IIPA in its February 2009 Special 301 submission. IIPA concluded that, for example, the promised appeals of the Video Mesfir cases from the Violations Review Committee (VRC) to the Board of Grievances (BOG) with a recommendation that the infringer be given a prison term, would “very soon” result in a judgment of imprisonment – the first such judgment ever, and a key to bringing deterrence to the market. That optimism was misplaced and now one year later, the BOG has still refused to act on these appeals. Other benchmarks were also very close to being achieved and we had every reason to believe they would be. Unfortunately, almost no progress in meeting these benchmarks has been made – piracy rates remain unacceptably high, the government has made no progress in legalizing its software use, and other deterrent enforcement actions have not resulted in reduction in piracy rates, as promised.

Remaining benchmarks from IIPA’s 2010 Submission include:

Deterrent Enforcement
- Re-commence a zero-tolerance policy toward street vendor piracy in the major cities until it becomes a de minimis problem.
- Complete the appeals of the Video Mesfir cases at the Board of Grievances (BOG) with a sentence of imprisonment and authorize appeals of other cases involving major seizures with the Minister recommending sentences of imprisonment, signaling to citizens that piracy crimes will receive deterrent penalties and especially imprisonment in appropriate cases.
- Publicize widely, and on a regular basis, all these actions, including press statements by high government officials that piracy will no longer be tolerated.

Legalize Software Use
- Make significant progress toward legalizing software use within the KSA Government.

Transparency
- Fully populate the MOCI’s website with data on raids, hearing dates, judgments and penalties commencing at the beginning of 2008 through the present, in both Arabic and English.

Other Priority Actions to be Taken in 2011:
- Administrative penalties imposed by the VRC should be increased to provide more deterrence, under the guidance of the Minister and new Deputy Minister Eid Al-Hoot.

¹ For more details on Saudi Arabia, see IIPA’s “History” Appendix to this filing at http://www.iipa.com/pdf/2011SPEC301HISTORICALSUMMARY.pdf, as well as the previous years’ country reports, at http://www.iipa.com/countryreports.html.
• While a small number of new trained inspectors have been added to the Copyright Department at MOCI in Riyadh, resources there are inadequate and are almost totally lacking in the rest of the Kingdom to deal with continued high levels of piracy. A prosecutor must be appointed to handle cases before the VRC.

• The Police Committee in Riyadh should be duplicated in other major cities to assist with street vendor raids and to investigate large producers and distributors of pirate product. Greater police involvement is critical and has yet to be assured.

• A special cyber crime unit within the Police Committees and an Internet piracy unit at MOCI should be established, and the MOCI should work closely with the Communication and Information Technology Commission (CITC) to take actions against Internet piracy. At present, nothing is being done by the government to address rampant online piracy over P2P networks and enforcement authorities do not take actions to curb copyright infringements committed in this way.

• Enforcement of marketing conditions (such as religious requirements) must be even-handed and translate into raids and takedown actions against pirate product, which is both freely available on the streets and advertised online.

• The CITC must continue blocking websites, not just for pornographic content, but for copyright violations and, if it is a local website, subject the owner to deterrent penalties. The MOCI should act on its own to block sites engaged in infringement; The VRC must act to impose deterrent penalties for Internet infringements.

• The MOCI, the police and rights holders should cooperate to develop a plan to convert the compounds to legitimacy, bringing Pay-TV piracy in the compounds to an end.

• The customs system must be reformed to establish an IPR Task Force and Saudi Customs must impose fines on importers of seized product;

• The Copyright Act must be amended to fully implement and ratify, the WIPO Internet Treaties, including ensuring the law provides incentives for ISPs to cooperate with rights holders against online piracy.

**UPDATE ON PROGRESS ON MEETING IIPA’S BENCHMARKS**

**Deterrent Enforcement and Penalties:** In 2009, under HE Al-Haazaa’s leadership, raids against street vendors and retail operations continued at a steady rate, but apparently with some fall off. The Arabian Anti-Piracy Alliance (AAA) which conducts raiding and enforcement activity on behalf of the motion picture, entertainment software, and publishing industries in Saudi Arabia reported that in 2010 they were involved in 175 raids and seizures of 137,179 units of pirate product. AAA reports that this is but a small fraction of the pirate product that pervades the marketplace. The lack of inspectors at the copyright department (reportedly there are only four in Riyadh at this time and two in Jeddah) is the principle cause for the apparent fall off in raiding and the expectation that the Ministry would appoint at least ten trained inspectors in Riyadh alone was never realized. The failure of the Ministry to appoint more inspectors is the cause and no progress can be made until this is done. Moreover, the Ministry had also been urged to appoint a prosecutor to take charge of cases before the VRC and this has also not occurred, contributing significantly to the lack of deterrent penalties at the VRC. As requested in IIPA’s 2010 submission, raids need to be increased and extended to piracy of music and sound recordings. Enforcement authorities have so far failed to adapt their enforcement priorities in line with the changing nature of the piracy problem and the steady shift from physical piracy towards Internet piracy (see discussion below).

In our 2010 submission, IIPA reported that the VRC had been clearing more cases than in the past and that administrative penalties had increased, including a few cases involving the maximum penalty of SR100,000 (US$26,665). HE Al-Haazaa had been a critical part of these improvements. Unfortunately, this trend has not continued and penalties are no longer on the upswing, despite continued efforts by the head of the Copyright Department, Rafiek Al-Okaily. Obtaining deterrent penalties for all copyright sectors, both at the administrative level and from the BOG, has been the IIPA’s highest priority. IIPA’s recommendation to remove Saudi Arabia from the
Watch List in 2009 to 2010 was based primarily on the understanding, confirmed by an IIPA visit with the BOG in June 2010, that a judgment of imprisonment by the BOG in the Video Mesfir cases was imminent. Video Mesfir is a seven-time-raided pirate operation consisting of a retail outlet and a warehouse, which involved the total seizure of well over 100,000 pirate copies along with significant amounts of reproduction equipment. Two of these cases received their first hearing before the BOG on February 16, 2010 and one case received the necessary recommendation of the Minister of Culture and Information that the BOG impose a sentence of imprisonment. A year later, the BOG is still sitting on all the Video Mesfir cases. Without concluding these appeals and imposing a sentence of imprisonment, there is little hope that piracy rates can be brought down in the Kingdom.

As IIPA has highlighted in past submissions, studies have shown that there is little or no fear that any pirate would ever go to jail or that even a significant deterrent fine would be imposed.\(^3\) In 2009, the VRC has succeeded in imposing the maximum fine that it has the authority to impose – SR100,000 (US$26,665) in a few larger cases. This trend has not been sustained. With at least three piracy cases before the BOG including a Ministry-appealed case, there is now no excuse for not taking immediate action. In June 2010, the judges on the BOG reiterated to IIPA and the U.S. Government that when a case is brought before them, they will not hesitate to impose deterrent jail terms. Why this has not occurred is without explanation or justification.

Government Legalization of Software and Enterprise End-User Software Piracy: Another of the critical benchmarks in IIPA’s 2010 Special 301 submission was for the government to begin the task of legalizing its use of software in government ministries. This, along with end-user piracy in businesses, is one of the largest contributors to the losses suffered by the U.S. software industry in Saudi Arabia. The rate of PC software piracy in the Kingdom was 50% in 2010. That represented pirated U.S.-vendor software with an estimated commercial value of $189 million.\(^4\) In 2010, IIPA reported on apparent efforts of the Commerce Ministry, following the commitment made at the 2009 IPR Working Group meeting, to upgrade and legalize its software use. We were told then that that process had begun but the industry was never made aware that the effort was completed. MOCI was to have also undertaken an inventory, but again no results were ever made available to industry. Furthermore, many discussions over the last year between industry and government designed to make progress on legalization (the piracy rate for software in government is estimated to be 70%) have yielded no gains. The making of imminent progress on this front was a key element of IIPA’s recommendation to remove Saudi Arabia from the Watch List. Since there has been no progress made, the Kingdom should now be restored to the Watch List.

No progress been made to legalize software use in government, and in addition, industry now reports a sharp increase in counterfeit software being sold to ministries as part of hardware purchases. This ominous development should have led to immediate enforcement action against such vendors. It was requested by industry but the Ministry has so far not taken action, months after the initial discovery. The computers purchased with such software were merely returned to the vendor.

Transparency: While in 2009 and 2010, there was significant progress in posting new cases on the MOCI website, H.E. Al-Haazaa’s commitment to complete population of cases on the website (and to have them translated into English) by Ramadan in 2010 was not fulfilled and little progress has been made since in completing this task. Rights holders had been able to participate in VRC proceedings upon request and the right to appeal results and penalties to the BOG has been confirmed. Right holder lawyers continue to be able to obtain information on the progress of cases at the VRC and to participate in VRC proceedings upon request. The Ministry has yet to put into

\(^3\) A few of the later Video Mesfir raids were run after the VRC began imposing its maximum sentence. This illustrates vividly that pirates have little concern that the authorities will take significant action against them, other than seizing their pirate product and imposing small fines.

\(^4\) BSA’s 2010 statistics are preliminary, representing U.S. software publishers’ share of commercial value of pirated software in Saudi Arabia. They follow the methodology compiled in the Seventh Annual BSA and IDC Global Software Piracy Study (May 2010), [http://portal.bsa.org/globalpiracy2009/index.html](http://portal.bsa.org/globalpiracy2009/index.html). These figures cover packaged PC software, including operating systems, business applications, and consumer applications such as PC gaming, personal finance, and reference software – including freeware and open source software. They do not cover software that runs on servers or mainframes, or routine device drivers and free downloadable utilities such as screen savers. The methodology used to calculate this and other piracy numbers are described in IIPA’s 2011 Special 301 submission at [http://www.iipa.com/pdfs/2011spec301methodology.pdf](http://www.iipa.com/pdfs/2011spec301methodology.pdf). BSA’s final piracy figures will be released in mid-May, and the updated US software publishers’ share of commercial value of pirated software will be available at [http://www.iipa.com](http://www.iipa.com).
place mechanisms enabling effective exchange of information and cooperation between KSA enforcement authorities and foreign rights holders whose anti-piracy operations, especially in the Internet sphere, are frequently run from outside the Kingdom. There should be clear information, available in English, on the organizational structure and responsibilities within MOCI as well as named contact persons for handling cooperation with foreign-based anti-piracy teams representing affected rights holders.

**UPDATE ON PROGRESS AND PROBLEMS IN OTHER AREAS**

**Enforcement Against Internet Piracy:** The CITC, which regulates the ISPs and telecom in general, continues to block foreign websites when requested by rights holders, but only on the grounds that they violate Saudi censorship laws. Many of these cases involve both censorship violations as well as infringement. Efforts have been ongoing to convince MOCI to set up an Internet piracy unit within the Copyright Department and to undertake siteblocking actions directly, rather than through the CITC, which MOCI has the authority to do. It is imperative for both CITC and MOCI to develop comprehensive regulations on addressing copyright violations committed over the Internet. Any solution must include both hosted as well as remotely accessible content and address infringements over P2P networks. IIPA reported in 2010 that the Ministry was considering establishing such a unit and reviewing MOCI authority in this area, and, as well, working more closely with CITC. This was also a topic of discussion during IIPA’s visit to the Kingdom in June 2010. Unfortunately, no progress on this front has been made. Nor has a cybercrime unit been set up within the police, as requested in 2009 and 2010. MOCI must also engage with foreign-based anti-piracy teams.

**Enforcement Against Pay-TV Signal Theft in the Compounds:** Signal piracy of premium services like Showtime, Orbit, and ART in compounds continues to be a very serious problem in Saudi Arabia. The compounds have upwards of hundreds/thousands of homes under a single management that centrally controls and operates the cable service within each compound. The compounds utilize a smart card, installing it in their centralized head end and then redistributing Pay TV channels to hundreds/thousands of homes. The management of these compounds are very influential and can clearly afford to pay for premium channels. Enforcement is complicated because it is very difficult for even the police to enter these compounds quickly and easily; they are heavily guarded by private security firms and by the time the raid team finally gets to the head end the evidence of piracy has conveniently disappeared. The Ministry and the police had indicated that they would begin taking action in this area. Some actions have indeed been taken, including letters written to the compounds by then Deputy Minister Al-Haazaa. Complaints made to the VRC have simply not been acted upon.

Another major issue affecting Pay TV stakeholders is the illegal importation, distribution and sale of decoder boxes that circumvent encryption technologies. The government is very cooperative in seizing these illegal products, but, as with other kinds of piracy in the Kingdom, penalties are small or non-existent. Far heavier penalties, including referring these cases to the BOG, is critically needed.

**Enforcement Against Book Piracy and Music and Sound Recording Piracy:** Publishers have within the last year commenced an aggressive enforcement program. They are receiving good cooperation from the Ministry and regular raids are being run upon request. As noted above, raiding needs to be extended to all sectors, including the music and recording industry which suffers rates of piracy over 90% in the Saudi market, and nearly a 100% online piracy rate. Again, however, without the deterrence brought about by the imposition by the VRC and BOG of significant penalties, merely conducting more raids will not work a significant change in the market.

**Implement and Ratify the WIPO Treaties:** Some very slow progress has been made through 2009 and none thereafter. The government has engaged with WIPO and in early 2010 was reportedly preparing questions for WIPO response. We have heard no work whether further progress has been made. This process needs to be accelerated and completed.

---

5 The industry conducted 55 raids against book shops, seizing over 900 pirated books.