Special 301 Recommendation: IIPA recommends that Taiwan be placed on the Special 301 Watch List.¹

Executive Summary: Taiwan has recently taken some positive steps to improve its digital marketplace for legitimate audiovisual content, including outlawing Piracy Devices (PDs) and software applications in 2019 and then taking enforcement actions over the past two years against certain notorious piracy sites, such as 8maple.ru and related domains, as well as advancing legacy cases against the PDs ChuangYi TV box and QBox (or Chien Hsun TV Box), although, unfortunately, in both cases prison sentences were commuted to non-deterrent fines. However, continuing online piracy, legal framework deficiencies, and other barriers continue to stifle the potential of the Taiwanese market and limit market access for the U.S. creative industries. To further its stated interest in negotiating a bilateral trade agreement with the United States, Taiwan’s government should address the copyright protection, enforcement, and market access concerns discussed in this report.

Piracy websites and software applications (apps), especially those operated or based outside of Taiwan, continue to be problematic for rights holders, by facilitating unauthorized streaming, and stream-ripping and driving the proliferation of PDs. Illegal theatrical camcording remains a concern. E-book piracy and the making available of copyrighted teaching materials without authorization on university digital platforms likewise remain problematic.

Enactment of the 2019 amendments providing a clear legal basis to combat the proliferation of piracy apps and devices was a positive development, but sentences against pirate operators are too low to deter piracy. Taiwan’s government should further improve the legal framework for copyright protection and enforcement to combat remaining and growing online piracy problems. For example, Taiwan should provide an effective remedy such as no-fault injunctions against foreign-hosted piracy sites, preferably through the Copyright Act amendment process. Data suggests that the public in Taiwan would support more effective protection of creative content online. Unfortunately, two separate sets of draft amendments to the Copyright Act under consideration not only fail to address deficiencies in Taiwan’s legal framework but would further damage local and worldwide investment in the production and legitimate distribution of copyrighted content and raise questions regarding Taiwan’s existing international obligations.

The Government of Taiwan should move swiftly to remove market access barriers negatively impacting the audiovisual sector, and Taiwan should refrain from imposing any new barriers, including those in the proposed regulations of over-the-top (OTT) services and in the proposed regulations on intermediary platforms. IIPA urges the government to take the steps necessary to address the persistent threats to the creative industries, which contribute so significantly to Taiwan’s economy and culture.

PRIORITY ACTIONS REQUESTED IN 2022

Enforcement:

- Criminal Investigation Bureau (CIB), Telecommunication Police Brigade (TPB), and Criminal Investigation Brigade (CIBr) should continue to investigate and prosecute more online piracy cases (including those involving PDs and piracy apps under the amended Copyright Act), with the goal of seeking deterrent level punishment against commercial piracy operations.

¹For more details on Taiwan’s Special 301 history, see previous years’ reports at https://iipa.org/reports/reports-by-country/. For the history of Taiwan’s Special 301 placement, see https://iipa.org/files/uploads/2021/01/2021SPEC301HISTORICALCHART.pdf.
• Increase training and awareness for judges and prosecutors of global best practices for online copyright enforcement, and the application of procedural requirements to the online environment.
• Take action against infringement of reading materials at educational institutions, including the making available of on-demand printouts of pirated e-books or teacher resource materials, and against digital infringement occurring on online education platforms.
• Take effective action to deter unauthorized theatrical camcording incidents, including issuing deterrent penalties.
• Issue a regulation (or equivalent administrative measure) pursuant to Article 87(7) of the Copyright Act confirming that the provision applies to all websites and apps that facilitate infringement of copyright, including stream-ripping, and bring cases under that provision.

Legislative:
• Enact legislation to:
  • provide for a clear legal basis for rights holders to obtain no-fault injunctions to order Internet service providers (ISPs) to disable access to infringing content on websites, including foreign websites (including, as necessary, amending the Civil Procedure Code and the Intellectual Property (IP) Adjudication Act to overcome potential civil procedure restrictions);
  • make all criminal copyright infringement, including Internet piracy, “public crimes” to permit ex officio action against infringement;
  • clarify the ISP liability framework (in the Copyright Act or the Digital Communications Act) to ensure that all intermediaries are properly incentivized to act against online piracy and that safe harbors apply only to passive and neutral intermediaries that do not contribute to infringing activities and that such intermediaries fulfill certain conditions, including adoption of a repeat infringer policy, with encouragement to institute a know your business customer policy, and that, upon obtaining knowledge of infringement (including a notice) or otherwise becoming aware of circumstances of which the infringement is apparent, intermediaries promptly take steps to limit, stop, and prevent further infringement, including expeditious takedown of infringing content and other measures demonstrated effective in preventing or restraining infringement;
  • further amend Article 87 of the Copyright Act to: 1) clarify that the list of acts setting out “an infringement of copyright” is non-exhaustive to ensure the provision is applied to other acts of infringement, such as stream-ripping; and 2) remove the pre-condition for liability that infringers “receive benefit” from the infringement;
  • extend term of protection in line with international best practices (to 70 years after the death of the author, or in cases in which term is calculated based on publication, to the U.S. term of 95 years, but in any case, no less than 70 years);
  • make unauthorized camcording of motion pictures in theaters or of live musical performances a criminal offense;
  • correct Taiwan Intellectual Property Office (TIPO) collective management practices to allow a fair-market based royalty rate and eliminate delays in fixing the rate in dispute settlement cases;
  • enhance Article 88 of the Copyright Act by removing restrictive language on calculating damages and the NTD1,000,000 limit; and
  • ensure sound recordings are treated the same as literary, musical, and dramatic or choreographic works, including but not limited to providing exclusive rights for public performance and retransmissions of sound recordings.
• Ensure amendments to the Copyright Act do not reduce criminal liability standards, and do not extend exceptions beyond what is permissible under Taiwan’s WTO TRIPS and Berne obligations (i.e., that exceptions to and limitations on copyright are narrowly tailored to avoid overbroad interpretations), including by explicitly referencing the three-step test in all applicable exceptions.
• Eliminate market access barriers that discriminate against U.S. audiovisual content (including investment restrictions in the Cable Radio and Television law, the rate cap for basic cable TV service, local discriminatory content quotas on television content, and television program classification regulations that require display of Taiwanese ratings and warning messages); and ensure that any new OTT regulations (e.g., the Internet
Foreign websites that provide illegal content remain a significant problem and undermine the ability of legitimate rights holders and services to survive commercially in Taiwan. Examples of such websites include gimyvod.cc and 99kubo.tv, which offer access to thousands of infringing movie and television series titles and make money through advertising. Particularly popular are streaming, forum, blog, deeplinking, peer-to-peer (P2P) (e.g., Rarbg), BitTorrent, and cyberlocker sites (e.g., Katfile), which are used to infringe or facilitate infringement of copyright in movies and television content, music, video games, and books and journals. There is still no effective means to combat foreign-hosted infringing services. This has left Taiwan unable to stop online video piracy syndicates and their products and services, which have expanded from China into Taiwan. Notorious piracy sites Dytt8.net, Dy2018.dom, Dygod.net, and Ygdy8.com allegedly have their servers located in Taiwan. While these sites remain under investigation, Taiwan should take effective action by imposing deterrent level punishments against the operators of these sites and services, as discussed below.

Based on a criminal referral, in early 2021, Taiwan’s enforcement authorities raided the operators of a highly popular Chinese language Gimy pirate website family. While this action, positively, resulted in the removal of certain domains, Gimy has redirected to new domains, including gimy.app, gimytv.com, gimy.pro, jumi.co, dogevod.com, and gimy.one. The Gimy domains had an aggregate of 23 million monthly visits in August 2021 according to SimilarWeb. The case continues to be investigated by Taiwanese prosecutors. As reported last year, the CIB successfully took action against a major streaming website, 8maple.ru, responsible for 32.5 million piracy visits per month. In March 2021, Taiwan’s enforcement authorities raided the operators of a highly popular Chinese language Gimy pirate website family. While this action, positively, resulted in the removal of certain domains, Gimy has redirected to new domains, including gimy.app, gimytv.com, gimy.pro, jumi.co, dogevod.com, and gimy.one. The Gimy domains had an aggregate of 23 million monthly visits in August 2021 according to SimilarWeb. The case continues to be investigated by Taiwanese prosecutors. As reported last year, the CIB successfully took action against a major streaming website, 8maple.ru, responsible for 32.5 million piracy visits per month. In March

Piracy Continues to Grow, Especially Online: Online and mobile device piracy in Taiwan continued in 2021. Foreign websites that provide illegal content remain a significant problem and undermine the ability of legitimate rights holders and services to survive commercially in Taiwan. Examples of such websites include gimyvod.cc and 99kubo.tv, which offer access to thousands of infringing movie and television series titles and make money through advertising. Particularly popular are streaming, forum, blog, deeplinking, peer-to-peer (P2P) (e.g., Rarbg), BitTorrent, and cyberlocker sites (e.g., Katfile), which are used to infringe or facilitate infringement of copyright in movies and television content, music, video games, and books and journals. There is still no effective means to combat foreign-hosted infringing services. This has left Taiwan unable to stop online video piracy syndicates and their products and services, which have expanded from China into Taiwan. Notorious piracy sites Dytt8.net, Dy2018.dom, Dygod.net, and Ygdy8.com allegedly have their servers located in Taiwan. While these sites remain under investigation, Taiwan should take effective action by imposing deterrent level punishments against the operators of these sites and services, as discussed below.

Based on a criminal referral, in early 2021, Taiwan’s enforcement authorities raided the operators of a highly popular Chinese language Gimy pirate website family. While this action, positively, resulted in the removal of certain domains, Gimy has redirected to new domains, including gimy.app, gimytv.com, gimy.pro, jumi.co, dogevod.com, and gimy.one. The Gimy domains had an aggregate of 23 million monthly visits in August 2021 according to SimilarWeb. The case continues to be investigated by Taiwanese prosecutors. As reported last year, the CIB successfully took action against a major streaming website, 8maple.ru, responsible for 32.5 million piracy visits per month. In March

---

3Gimyvod.cc offers a vast library of motion picture, television, anime content, and Asian dramas. It is believed to be operated by an individual in China, but the site is not accessible in China. The site uses a U.S.-based reverse proxy service to mask its IP location, limiting rights holders’ ability to identify the site’s precise host and the location of the website’s server. The site was previously at gimyvod.com and was one of the most popular streaming Chinese language websites in the Asia region.
4Forums are a serious problem, including, for example, eyny.com, one of the most popular infringing websites with 54.6 million visits from Taiwan in Q3 2021 (according to SimilarWeb) and JKForum.net, which received 14 million visits in Q3 2021 from Taiwan. Users can easily share unlicensed content (including movies, TV dramas, and music) in these forums. In addition, eyny.com has a sub-streaming service, video.eyny.com, which receives 9.43 million monthly visits and 73.54% of traffic from Taiwan, where users can share unauthorized content (including movies, TV dramas, and music videos) directly with each other.
5Forum sites often link to downloads hosted on cyberlockers and these sites were also widely used in Taiwan. For example, there were 4.6 million visits to Katfile.com, and 1.2 million visits to Rapidgator.net
2020, the CIB seized the primary and related domain names (8maple.com, 8drama.com, 8drama.ru, 8duck.ru, 8video.tv, eyny.is, and eyny.tv), arrested two suspects, and seized computer equipment, property and approximately US$1.9 million in illegal funds. Lastly, an IP Court criminal verdict against the operator of a piracy linking site called ishowfile is still in progress. Overall, notwithstanding the excellent work of Taiwan’s enforcement authorities in tracking down some major pirate operators, sentences and fines remain non-deterrent.

“Stream-ripping,” where users of legitimate online platforms use tools, such as an app or a website, to illegally “rip” the streamed content (which can be audio or video), is a growing problem, which at first impacted primarily the music industry, but is beginning to impact other creative industries as well. The legal framework in Taiwan presents challenges for taking action against persons who facilitate this activity (i.e., the app developer or website operator). In other jurisdictions, courts have found such services to infringe the reproduction and/or making available rights, and/or to unlawfully circumvent technological protection measures (TPMs), but in Taiwan no such cases have been brought, in part because operators are located outside Taiwan. As discussed below, Article 87 should be further amended to expressly confirm that it can be used against foreign-based services and clarify that the list of acts setting out “an infringement of copyright” is non-exhaustive, which would ensure that the provision is applied to other acts of infringement, such as stream-ripping.

A newer form of piracy involves a mobile app for use with karaoke machines that allows consumers to access and download content from a cloud database located overseas. The app provides access to a vast amount of unauthorized karaoke audiovisual content. In a recent case in Taiwan, the owner of a karaoke audiovisual content cloud database provided an app for download by Taiwanese consumers that permitted them to access and use database content licensed only for mainland China. Rights holders of the karaoke audiovisual content in Taiwan suffer significant losses from this form of piracy. The distributor of the machine has been indicted under Article 87(1)-(7) and (8) and Article 93, and the case is now pending in court for review. The judgement in this case will be very important for tackling similar infringements in the future, but more effective enforcement measures are clearly needed.

Social media platforms have also become a popular way to share pirated content. Apps for PDs and mobile devices, such as Mixerbox 3,11 have become a significant platform for disseminating illegal content. Illegal camcording continues to be a problem, with ten videos forensically matched to Taiwan cinema locations (including in Kaohsiung) during 2019 (up from five in 2018), and six audio matches. Data for 2020 and 2021 is anomalous due to cinema closures during the COVID-19 pandemic. In addition to improving the legal framework (discussed below), the government should take actions under current law, and work with industry to persuade exhibitors to provide staff with more training and to take proactive security measures.12

While unauthorized photocopying remains a concern, the availability of unauthorized copies of textbooks on online sites has overtaken this problem. Online shopping forums, such as www.shopee.com and www.ruten.com.tw host numerous vendor accounts that sell or re-sell unauthorized copies of textbooks, test banks, and solutions manuals in both pdf and print form.13 The shopee.com platform has become increasingly problematic, with reports of infringing content, i.e., counterfeit versions of textbooks and unauthorized ebooks, on the site having increased over the past few years. The case has been transferred to the Taoyuan District Prosecutor’s office for further investigation. See https://www.taipeitimes.com/News/taiwan/archives/2020/04/09/2003734279.

8In September 2019, The Taiwan Shilin District Court sentenced the defendant to 22 months imprisonment. While the sentence was encouraging, the length of the proceedings (4 years and 4 months) is far too long to have a significant deterrence in the marketplace. The defendant’s appeal to the appellate court was dismissed, and the Supreme Court dismissed his appeal on December 16, 2020. The guilty verdict and the sentence of 1 year and 10 months, therefore, remains. The defendant is applying for a retrial.

10Popular websites that enable stream-ripping include Y2Mate, which received 3.7 million visits from Taiwan in Q3 2021; Y71s.com, which received 1.9 million visits in that same period; and Yout.com, which received more than 1 million visits from Taiwan in that same period.

11Mixerbox.com is a website that allows users to watch embedded YouTube clips stripped of advertisements, providing a service similar to a streaming service like Spotify or KKBOX, except with unlicensed content. Mixerbox.com, which has seriously disrupted the local music streaming market, has 623 thousand monthly visits, with 91.71% of its traffic from Taiwan. The website also disseminates the popular mobile app Mixerbox 3, which also provides unauthorized music video content streamed from YouTube, stripped of advertisements.

12It has been reported that actions against camcording as “unauthorized duplication” have been brought and sustained under Article 91 of the current Copyright Law; nevertheless, it is important that Taiwan adopt sui generis provisions specifically covering the act of camcording.

13Vendors on these online forums purchase DVD-ROMs containing pirated content from vendors on Taobao (China) or download infringing copies from other high traffic piracy sites (such as Libgen or ThePiratebay) and upload the infringing copies to their seller accounts.
year. Unfortunately, exacerbating the problem, the process for reporting infringing content is cumbersome and responses to takedown notifications have been inconsistent. Copy shops on and around university campuses may be equipped with computers and multi-function printers to allow students to select the desired textbook to be printed on demand (from pirated digital files stored on in-store computers), while computer labs at universities also appear to be venues for downloading and printing infringing materials by students.

The "Teaching Excellence Program" encouraged teachers to make available teaching materials they created on a university digital platform freely accessible to students. Unfortunately, in addition to original content generated by the instructors themselves, publishers remain concerned that a significant amount of the materials—textbook content, PowerPoint slides, exercises, or test banks and the like—available on these platforms may be unauthorized copies of copyrighted content created and owned by publishers. The full extent of the infringement remains unknown, as publishers are unable to assess the content made available because access to the university platforms is not permitted.

**Piracy Devices (PDs):** Notwithstanding specific legislative reforms, the proliferation of PDs remains a problem in Taiwan, and enforcement against operators of PDs to effectively deter them has been insufficient. In February 2021, a Taiwan court delivered its verdict in a criminal case involving the Chuang Yi TV box, finding the defendant guilty of violating Article 92 of the Copyright Act for public transmission of a copyrighted work without authorization. Unfortunately, the penalty was less than deterrent because, while the defendant initially received a sentence of six months imprisonment, it was commuted to a fine. Another criminal case was pursued against the Qbox and nine defendants. In December 2021, a Taiwanese court delivered its verdict against one defendant, finding him guilty of violating Article 92 of the Copyright Act. Unfortunately, as with other recent criminal cases in Taiwan, the defendant received a prison sentence of only five months, which was then commuted to a non-deterrent fine. In February 2021, Taiwan police took actions against servers feeding mostly local content to popular infringing Internet protocol television (IPTV) services like UnblockTech and EVPAD. Additionally, in August 2021, Taiwan police took action against individuals who stole the video signal source files of the Olympics and illegally provided them to users of UnblockTech.

The 2019 amendments to Articles 87 and 93 of the Copyright Act provide a clear legal basis for enforcement against the dissemination of certain piracy apps and the manufacture and trafficking of PDs and apps. To ensure the law accomplishes its goal of deterring the sale of PDs in the marketplace, we are hopeful that recent enforcement actions will result in increased deterrence against the manufacturers and distributors of PDs and apps, as well as against resellers of devices that do not have piracy software or apps pre-loaded (but who are well equipped by the manufacturer or by middleware providers to install illicit software or apps). In light of the amended law, local associations, including the Taiwan OTT Media Services Association, are asking the government to set up a specialized enforcement unit to handle cases involving unlawful PDs. The local audiovisual industry has recently worked well with enforcement authorities (including CIB, TPB, and CIBr) on PD cases, and IIPA is hopeful enforcement authorities continue to pursue such cases, using the amended law to its maximum extent.

**Pirated USBs:** USB devices loaded with thousands of pirated songs (with each USB storing 1,000-3,000 songs) are becoming more popular in Taiwan. Investigations indicate that these USBs, which are sold online via e-commerce platforms (e.g., Shoppe.tw and PChome/eBay) at a very cheap price (NT$200–NT$800, or ~US$7–US$27), are being manufactured in mainland China and delivered by local couriers in Taiwan, with the money going into Taiwanese bank accounts. The music industry initiated a few criminal cases against sellers of USBs in previous years, but each case ended with defendants receiving short prison sentences that they were allowed to convert into

---

14. Copy shops store unauthorized pdf files of textbooks on in-house computers, which are available for students to search and select files for printing and binding by the shop.

15. Piracy Devices (PDs) refer to media boxes, set-top boxes, or other devices and their corresponding apps and services. Mostly originating from China, PDs are available openly throughout Taiwan, including at so-called “3C” shops, and via online retailers, and facilitate unauthorized streaming of motion pictures and television content through apps that direct users to pirated content. These devices often contain, or can connect to, a hard disk to store the downloaded content, and may have an SD card slot, which helps novices connect to foreign piracy sites. More than 30 different brands of such devices are now available in the marketplaces in Taiwan. Manufacturers of popular PDs in Taiwan include Unblock Tech, EVPAD, and SVI Cloud.
Because the pirate USBs were imported and delivered from China to consumers in Taiwan directly, raids initiated by the Taiwanese police resulted in finding and seizing only small amounts of pirate products, discouraging law enforcement from pursuing aggressive actions against this form of physical piracy. Taiwan’s notice-and-takedown mechanism is inapplicable to this kind of infringement because these are physical products and there is no list of infringing content available to identify in a notice.

**Online Piracy Enforcement, Particularly Originating Outside Taiwan, Hampered by Inadequate Legal Framework:** While the takedown rate remains extremely high for domestically hosted content, Taiwan's Internet users obtain unauthorized content primarily from websites located overseas. Cooperation with ISPs is generally good for domestically hosted infringement, but Taiwan's legal framework to address overseas infringements remains inadequate. No-fault injunctive relief against ISPs to stop infringement by piracy websites does not appear available under current law, although a recent unpublished Taipei District Court case, as well as last year’s *Gimy* criminal action, may pave the way for an approach by Taiwan Network Information Center (TWNIC) to disable access to sites engaged in illegal activity. Taiwanese government officials and stakeholders have had discussions with ISPs about the severe problem of online piracy. While ISPs are generally sympathetic, they require the government to direct them or courts to order them to act. Meanwhile massive online piracy continues to cause significant damage to American creators and businesses, which have invested in production, distribution, and exportation of copyrighted content.

Taiwan has a mechanism to report and ensure permanent removal or blocking of content that facilitates illegal activities such as child pornography, human trafficking, and defamation/cyber-bullying. Government involvement and support is essential to expand this cooperation to content that infringes copyrights. Without such a remedy, Taiwan is becoming an outlier in Asia, as many other countries in the region (and elsewhere in the world) are taking active steps to address the growing online and mobile piracy problem.

Furthermore, civil remedies are inadequate. Article 88 of the Copyright Act includes restrictive language on calculating damages, and limits damages in most cases in which actual damages cannot be determined to NTD1,000,000. Article 88 should be enhanced by removing these impediments. Without overall effective remedies, online piracy investigations suffer, and piracy proliferates. The music industry reports that CIBr actions against music piracy have been significantly reduced, in part because domestic takedown notice recipients have become more responsive, but also because most piracy websites are hosted outside Taiwan, where CIBr does not have jurisdiction.

**Improvements Needed in Court Adjudication of Intellectual Property (IP) Matters:** Many reports from copyright and other IP rights holders indicate that civil court procedures in Taiwan remain expensive, inefficient, and time-consuming, and that criminal prosecutions are drawn out and do not result in deterrence. In the criminal context, prosecutors have settled for “suspension of indictment” in digital piracy cases and judges have commuted prison sentences to a fine or suspended punishment altogether. The Judicial Yuan would benefit from and may be receptive to trainings for judges and prosecutors on specific issues related to IP infringements, focusing on the following: 1) technical particularities of Internet and new technology-based copyright infringement cases; 2) aspects of the civil and criminal system that are not operating smoothly for rights holders; and 3) ways the creative industries have evolved over time and rely on effective and expeditious enforcement in the digital environment.

**COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED ISSUES**

**Concerns Over Continued Lack of Administrative or Judicial Remedies Against Infringements Emanating from Outside Taiwan:** As noted, while many of the online services built on infringing activities or facilitating infringement are located outside of Taiwan, a significant amount of infringing activity occurs within Taiwan.

---

16One defendant settled for “suspension of indictment” and seven were sentenced to two-to-three months imprisonment, but each one was allowed to convert it into a fine of USD$1,000-3,000.

17Industry is awaiting the text of draft amendments to the Internet Audiovisual Services Management Act that could include no-fault injunctive relief to disable access to flagrantly infringing websites. However, it does not appear such a provision will be included in the initial draft amendment, which has not yet emerged or been introduced in the Legislative Yuan.
and should create a nexus for action. ISPs in Taiwan have indicated a willingness to address the problem of flagrantly infringing websites, but the current inadequate legal framework inhibits them from doing so. Several dozen jurisdictions around the world have remedies in place to halt copyright infringing websites from being accessed by users. IIPA believes the Taiwanese government should propose legislation to provide an appropriate remedy that is narrowly tailored with appropriate processes to halt services that are built on, facilitate, or encourage infringement. It is unfortunate that no such proposals have been included as part of the copyright reform process. Governments in the region, including Australia, South Korea, Singapore, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam have adopted or refined approaches that provide a remedy requiring ISPs to disable access to infringing sites.\textsuperscript{18}

Proposed Copyright Amendments Inconsistent with International Norms: In April 2021, TIPO proposed another draft bill amending the Copyright Act (Draft Bill), which was passed from the Executive Yuan to the Legislative Yuan for review in May 2021. The Draft Bill is largely based on earlier proposals. Unfortunately, the Draft Bill does not address many of the criticisms IIPA raised in comments on the previous drafts that TIPO had released for public comment. Prior IIPA submissions have detailed the flaws in the draft amendments.\textsuperscript{19} These include failing to address several deficiencies in Taiwan’s existing legal regime, including the need to:

- provide a clear mechanism to address the problem of foreign hosted piracy websites that target users in Taiwan through the availability of no-fault injunctions to disable access to pirated content;\textsuperscript{20}
- clarify the ISP liability framework to ensure that all intermediaries are properly incentivized to act against online piracy and that safe harbors apply only to passive and neutral intermediaries that do not contribute to infringing activities and that such intermediaries fulfill certain conditions, including adoption of a repeat infringer policy, with encouragement to institute a know your business customer policy, and that, upon obtaining knowledge of infringement (including a notice) or otherwise becoming aware of circumstances of which the infringement is apparent, intermediaries promptly take steps to limit, stop, and prevent further infringement, including expeditious takedown of infringing content and other measures demonstrated effective in preventing or restraining infringement;
- deem all criminal copyright infringement, including Internet piracy, “public crimes” (as was so successfully done regarding optical disc piracy), which would be an effective deterrent and would benefit all rights holders, including those who cannot afford to pursue civil enforcement actions;
- extend the term of protection for copyrighted works, including sound recordings, in line with the international trend;\textsuperscript{21}
- make it a criminal offense to engage in unauthorized camcording of motion pictures in movie theaters or of live musical performances;\textsuperscript{22}
- correct problematic TIPO practices regarding collective management;\textsuperscript{23} and
- ensure sound recordings are treated the same as literary, musical, and dramatic or choreographic works, including but not limited to providing producers and performers exclusive (rather than mere remuneration) rights for public performance and retransmissions of sound recordings.

In addition, like earlier proposals, the Draft Bill contains several provisions that are inconsistent with evolving

\textsuperscript{18}IIPA also encourages Taiwan to look at how Europe has addressed this problem, in particular, through Article 8.3 of the European Information Society Directive, which is the basis for injunctive relief against intermediaries to remove access to infringing content.

\textsuperscript{19}See prior IIPA Taiwan country reports (e.g., IIPA 2019 at 83-84).

\textsuperscript{20}To the extent necessary, additional legislative changes should be made to overcome potential civil procedure restrictions, such as amending the Civil Procedure Code and Article 22 of the Intellectual Property (IP) Case Adjudication Act.

\textsuperscript{21}Term should be extended to 70 years after the death of the author, or in cases in which term is calculated based on publication, to the U.S. term of 95 years, but in any case, no less than 70 years.

\textsuperscript{22}The music industry reports that infringement through camcording live concerts is increasing.

\textsuperscript{23}Corrections should include allowing the setting of fair-market based rates for collectively managed rights (instead of tariffs determined by the Taiwan IP Office (TIPO)); establishing judicial dispute resolution mechanisms in lieu of the requirement to have Collective Management Organizations (CMOs) tariffs reviewed, revised, and approved by TIPO; and eliminating TIPO’s authority for setting a “joint royalty rate” and appointing a “single window” for collection. The 2010 amendments to the Copyright Collective Management Organization Act leave in place overbroad authority with TIPO to fix royalty rates for both the broadcast and performance of music and sound recordings and allow for delays in fixing the rate, thus interfering with the ability of rights holders to collect royalties. A detailed discussion of the shortcomings of the Act appears in previous IIPA filings.
international norms and raise questions regarding compliance with Taiwan’s existing international obligations, including the following:

- numerous broad exceptions and limitations to protection, including education and fair use, which would call into question Taiwan’s compliance with its TRIPS obligations;
- a reduction of criminal liability standards (e.g., requiring participation in collective management organizations (CMOs) as a prerequisite for criminal enforcement, exempting a broad range of uses of copyright works from criminal liability, and removing the minimum prison sentence of six months for making and distributing infringing copies); and
- requiring rights holders to file a formal complaint rather than providing ex officio authority for law enforcement to take action against criminal acts of infringement.

As previously reported, to further its stated ambition to join the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP), Taiwan is considering a second set of amendments to the Copyright Law that purport to implement the CPTPP standards. There is no timeline for passage at this stage, and if passed it would not enter into force until Taiwan joined the CPTPP. While the draft includes some positive aspects, such as establishing digital piracy as a public crime, it does not address most of the deficiencies in Taiwan’s legal framework outlined above, including the lack of an effective remedy against flagrantly infringing websites and an inadequate term of protection. Furthermore, an early draft would have weakened enforcement against pirated optical discs.

**Amendments to Address Piracy Devices (PDs) and Apps:** As previously reported, in 2019 Taiwan enacted amendments to Articles 87 and 93 of the Copyright Act. This legislation followed 2018 guidance from TIPO that streaming devices are prohibited under the Copyright Act and an August 2018 IP Court decision confirming this interpretation. Revised Article 87 maintains the condition that violators must “receive benefit” from their actions. This requirement should be removed, since in some cases the “benefit” may be indirect and difficult to prove. It should be clarified that the list of acts setting out “an infringement of copyright” is non-exhaustive to allow the provision to be applied to other acts of infringement, such as stream-ripping. IIPA hopes that Taiwan’s enforcement authorities

---

24 These include a broad exception for distance learning and compulsory licenses for the benefit of educational institutions and compilers of “pedagogical texts.”

25 Article 65(1) of the draft states that all of the enumerated exceptions (Articles 44-63) are subject to fair use without any requirement that they be confined to the use factors outlined in Article 65(2). Article 65(2) instead appears to function as an additional “catch all” fair use exception. As a result, the draft sets out a sweeping exception regime that is largely exempt from the safeguards set out in Article 65(2), which was originally intended to confine the enumerated exceptions to the three-step test. All of these exceptions should be expressly confined to the three-step test (e.g., WTO TRIPS Article 13) to ensure compliance with Taiwan’s international obligations.

26 Other problematic exceptions include an exception for using “common domestic reception appliances” to retransmit works publicly that have been publicly broadcast, and a broad exception for public performance of works for “nonprofit” activities. To ensure compliance, the three-step test should be made explicitly applicable to all relevant exceptions and, where it has been removed from existing law, the “reasonable scope” limitation should be retained.

27 The draft mandates that rights holders participate in a CMO to benefit from criminal enforcement against some infringing re-broadcasts or public communications, which impinges on the contractual freedom of creators and raises serious questions of TRIPS compliance. Parallel imports should not be decriminalized, because the government needs appropriate means to address the fact that many piratical imports are labeled as legitimate goods, which undermines Taiwan’s legitimate marketplace. And the exceptions from criminal liability set forth in Article 37 are too broad, covering, for example, exploitation of digitized karaoke machines or jukeboxes, which contain reproductions of musical works for public performance and re-transmission.

28 See, e.g., IIPA 2019 at 84-85.

29 Unfortunately, to qualify as a public crime, the “whole” work must be exploited “for consideration” and the infringement value must exceed NT$1M (about US$32,000). These are unnecessary obstacles that should be removed, particularly the high threshold, because calculating the value of infringement is fraught with uncertainty and the high bar does little to deter online infringement and may actually encourage it.

30 The obligation for term of protection in the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) has been suspended in the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP).

31 The provision would eliminate minimum penalties regarding pirated optical discs (Article 91bis) and eliminate the legal basis for confiscating pirated optical discs seized (Articles 98 and 98bis).

32 These amendments impose criminal penalties on individuals or entities who: 1) provide software, such as peer-to-peer (P2P) software, or apps that enable members of the public to access unauthorized copies of films and television programs on the Internet; 2) assist members of the public to access such unauthorized copies of films and television programs; or 3) manufacture or import devices with such pre-loaded software or apps. The penalties that may be imposed by a court are a sentence of up to two years imprisonment and/or a maximum fine of NT$500,000 (~US$16,600).

33 See Taiwan Taichung Local Inspectorate Prosecutor v. Zhang Zhiyuan (first accused) and NESO Technology Pte Ltd (second accused), Taiwan IP Court, 2018, Case Number 7 (29 August 2018). Significantly, this case held that Article 87(7) is not restricted to P2P technology and can be applied to other technologies that enabled access to unlicensed programs and copying of copyrighted works through apps installed on the PD.
vigorously use these new tools to better address piracy in Taiwan.

Notwithstanding this recent legislative achievement, other longstanding draft copyright amendments, which unfortunately propose many changes that would weaken rather than strengthen the scope of substantive copyright protection, continue to languish before the Legislative Yuan. As discussed above, Taiwan should prioritize copyright reform to improve its marketplace for rights holders and advance legislation to address the serious deficiencies in its copyright law and enforcement regimes.

MARKET ACCESS UPDATES AND RELATED ISSUES

Local Content Quotas: In January 2017, the National Communications Commission (NCC) issued regulations that included significant local content requirements that limit the broadcasting of U.S. audiovisual content on terrestrial and satellite television. These discriminatory conditions limit consumer choice, undermine the growth of the pay-TV sector in Taiwan, and restrict U.S. exports.

Content Ratings: In 2016, NCC issued regulations on Television Program Classification that require all terrestrial, cable, and satellite channels to display Taiwanese ratings and warning messages regardless of the content being broadcast. This onerous requirement, which entered into force in 2017, is a significant barrier for non-Taiwanese content. In response to objections from international channels, Taiwan has indicated it will consider requests for waivers; but such requests are discretionary, on a case-by-case basis, and are not always granted.

Additional Barriers Against Audiovisual Content: Taiwan maintains several other discriminatory barriers against U.S. audiovisual content. The Cable Radio and Television law limits foreign direct investment in a domestic cable television service to 20% of the operator’s total issued shares. Foreign investment in satellite television broadcasting services is also restricted to no more than 50%. In 1990, Taiwan set a rate cap for cable TV service of NT600 (US$20) per month per household, which has never been adjusted to keep up with inflation. Other restrictions on television services include a mandatory carriage requirement of 90-100 channels in the basic cable package and, for all IPTV offerings above the basic level cable TV services, only a la carte pricing is allowed. Such investment restrictions and rigid regulations of retail cable rates by the central and local government have hindered the development of the cable TV industry, satellite operators, and content providers.

Over-the-Top (OTT) Regulations: The Ministry of Culture (MOC) and NCC are considering OTT regulations (e.g., the Internet Audiovisual Service Management Act) that would require foreign OTT service providers to set up local permanent establishments and potentially mandate local content obligations. In addition, the proposed regulations would require foreign OTT service providers to register with the NCC, comply with a rating system that is potentially inconsistent with international standards, and disclose sensitive commercial information. Although these agencies state they are primarily concerned with regulating OTT services and streaming content originating from China, such requirements, if applied to all OTT services, would stifle business development and add a burdensome barrier to market entry. In addition to the OTT regulations, NCC is also drafting a regulation on intermediary platforms (e.g., the Digital Communications Act) that could include similar market entry barriers and slow down foreign investments.

Unfortunately, some local stakeholders have pointed to the significant problem of piracy originating outside of Taiwan (as discussed above) to advocate for local registration requirements. While local registration requirements would discriminate against legitimate services, such requirements would be ineffective against the problem of illicit piracy services located outside of Taiwan that target the Taiwanese market. As noted above, Taiwan needs effective remedies to address this problem such as no-fault injunctions to block access to foreign-hosted piracy services.

34 The Administrative Regulation for the Terrestrial TV Stations Broadcasting Local Production Programs and the Administrative Regulation for the Satellite TV Channels Broadcasting Local Production Programs require terrestrial TV stations to broadcast at least 50% of locally produced dramas between 8 pm and 10 pm and local satellite TV channels to broadcast at least 25% of locally produced children’s programs between 5 pm and 7 pm and at least 25% of locally produced drama, documentaries, and variety programs between 8 pm and 10 pm. 40% of these locally produced programs must be new productions. Furthermore, cable TV services must broadcast at least 20% of local programming.
TRAINING AND OUTREACH

The COVID-19 global pandemic unfortunately hampered efforts at physical or in-person training or outreach opportunities. That said, rights holders continue to work with the Government of Taiwan, providing assistance by sharing the results of investigations with law enforcement authorities (this would include rights identification, and investigations into piracy activities sourced from outside Taiwan, e.g., mainland China). Industry also supports raids and anti-piracy operations by providing on-scene examinations of seizures and logistical support to police and prosecutors. Industry provides publicly available data (including the recording industry’s website in Taiwan) with important information about anti-piracy actions and copyright protection campaigns. Industry remains available and interested in providing more of the same in 2022, including through the American Institute in Taiwan (AIT), the European Economic and Trade Office (ECTO), the European Chamber of Commerce Taiwan (ECCT), and the AmCham.

COMPLIANCE WITH EXISTING OBLIGATIONS TO THE UNITED STATES

The deficiencies in Taiwan’s enforcement framework outlined above—including inadequate civil procedures that do not result in deterrence and a judicial system that does not take piracy cases seriously, resulting in non-deterrent criminal sentences—are inconsistent with Taiwan’s obligations under the TRIPS enforcement provisions, including Articles 41, 42, and 61. Furthermore, as noted above, should Taiwan adopt the proposed draft amendments to the Copyright Act without significant revisions, Taiwan’s copyright laws will run afoul of a number of its TRIPS obligations including, in particular, those under TRIPS Article 13 on exceptions and limitations.